Athawale College of Social Work, Station Road, Bhandara Feedback from Students Questionnaire No. 1

B.S.W. – II Sem. 2022-23

Programme: Semester/Term/Year

Department:

Students are required to rate the courses in the following attributes using the 4-point scale shown. The format given is for one course. Do the same for other courses on separate page.



Sr.	Parameters	A	В	C	D
No.		Very	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
		Good			
1	Depth of the Course	03	07	20	00
	content including	(10.00%)	(23.33%)	(66.66%)	
	project work if any				
2		02	15	13	00
	Extent of coverage of	(06.66%)	(50.00%)	(43.33%)	
	course				
3		02	12	16	00
		(06.66%)	(40.00%)	(53.33%)	
	Applicability/				
4	relevance to real life	03	13	14	00
	situations	(10.00%)	(43.33%)	(46.66%)	
	Learning value (in				
_	terms of knowledge,	0.5			
5	concepts, manual,	02	15	13	00
	skills, analytical	(06.66%)	(50.00%)	(43.33%)	
	abilities and	0.4	4.4	4.5	
6	broadening	04	11	15	00
	perspectives)	(13.33%)	(36.66%)	(50.00%)	
_		0.2	1.5	10	00
7	Clarity and relevance	03	15	12	00
	of textual reading	(10.00%)	(50.00%)	(40.00%)	
0	material	05	00	1.0	00
8	D 1 C	05	(20,000/)	16	00
	Relevance of	(16.66%)	(30.00%)	(53.33%)	
	additional source				
	material (Library)				
	Extent of effort				
	required by students				
	Overall rating				
	Overall falling				
	<u> </u>				

Feedback from Analysis Questionnaire N0.1

B.S.W.-II Sem. 2022-23

- 1) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 20 (i.e. 66.66%) are satisfactory from the course content including project work.
- 2) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 15 (i.e. 50.00%) are saying that extent of coverage of course is good.
- 3) It is clear that the above table that majority of the respondents 16 (i.e. 53.33%) students are satisfactory about the course applicability/ relevance to real life situation.
- 4) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 14 (i.e. 46.66%) are saying that the course learning value is satisfactory.
- 5) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 15 (i.e. 50.00%) saying that the course clarity and relevance of textual reading material is good.
- 6) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 15 (i.e. 50.00%) saying that the course relevance of additional source material (Library) is satisfactory.
- 7) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 15 (i.e. 50.00%) are saying that the extent of the effort required by student's is good.
- 8) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 16 (i.e. 53.33%) the students saying that the course aurally raiding is satisfactory.


Athawale College of Social Work, Station Road, Bhandara Feedback Questionnaire No. 2

B.S.W. – II Sem. 2022-23

Department Semester/Term/Year

:

Please rate the teacher on the following attributes using the 4-point scale shown.



Sr.	Parameters	A	В	С	D
No.		Very	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
		Good			
1	Knowledge base of the	05	07	18	00
	teacher (as perceived by you)	(16.66%)	(23.33%)	(60.00%)	
2	Communication Skills (in	03	15	12	00
	terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	(10.00%)	(50.00%)	(40.00%)	
3	1	02	17	11	00
	Sincerity/Commitment of the teacher	(06.66%)	(56.66%)	(36.66%)	
4		04	16	10	00
	Interest generated by the	(13.33%)	(53.33%)	(33.33%)	
5	teacher	03	17	10	00
		(10.00%)	(56.66%)	(33.33%)	
	Ability to integrate course				
6	material with environment/	02	14	14	00
	other issues, to provide a broader perspective	(06.66%)	(46.66%)	(46.66)	
7		02	13	15	00
	Ability to integrate content with other courses	(06.66%)	(43.33%)	(50.00%)	
	Accessibility of the teacher in	05	14	11	00
8	and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and	(16.66%)	(46.66%)	(36.66%)	
	discussion outside class)	03	12	15	00
9	Ability to design quizzes/ Tests/ assignments/	(10.00%)	(40.00%)	(50.00%)	
	examinations and projects to	05	10	15	00
10	evaluate students	(16.66%)	(33.33%)	(50.00%)	
	understanding of the course				
	Provision of sufficient time				
	for feedback Overall rating				
	Overall failing				

- 1) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 18(i.e. 60.00%) are saying that the knowledge base of the teacher is satisfactory.
- 2) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 15 (i.e. 50.00%) are saying the communication skills is good.
- 3) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 17 (i.e. 56.66%) are saying that the sincerity/commitment of the teacher is good.
- 4) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 16 (i.e. 53.33%) are saying that the interest generated by the teacher is good.
- 5) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 17 (i.e. 56.66%) are saying that the ability to integrate course material with environment/ other issues to provide a broader perspective is good.
- It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 14 (i.e. 46.66%) is good and 14 (i.e. 46.66%) are saying that the Ability to integrate content with other courses is satisfactory.
- 7) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 15 (i.e. 50.00%) are saying that the Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class is satisfactory.
- 8) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 14 (i.e. 46.66%) are saying that the ability to design quizzes/tests / assignment/ examination and project to evaluate students understanding of the course is good.
- 9) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 15 (i.e. 50.00%) are saying that the provision of sufficient time for feedback is satisfactory.
- 10) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 15 (i.e. 50.00%) are saying the overall rating is satisfactory.

Athawale College of Social Work, Station Road, Bhandara Questionnaire No. 3 Answer Result B.S.W. – II Sem. 2022-23

Student's overall Evaluation of the programme and Teaching

(To be filled only after, the course results are declared)

Department: Course Teacher: Year

Que. No.	Question	Option & Respondents	Percentage
1.	The syllabus of each course was.	a) adequate - 17	56.67%
		b) inadequate - 04	13.33%
		c) challenging - 09	30.00%
		d) dull - 00	00%
		Total: 30	100%
2.	Background for benefiting for	a) More than adequate- 12	40.00%
	the course was.	b) adequate - 14	46.67%
		c) inadequate - 04	13.33%
		d) cannot say - 00	00%
		Total: 30	100%
3.	Was the course easy or difficult	a) easy - 11	36.67%
	to understand?	b) manageable - 12	40.00%
		c) difficult - 04	13.33%
		d) very difficult -03	10.00%
		Total: 30	100%
4.	How much of the syllabus was	a) 85 to 100% - 09	30.00%
	covered in the class?	b) 70 to 85% - 14	46.67%
		c) 55 to 70% - 07	23.33%
		d) less than 55% - 00	00%
		Total: 30	100%
5.	What is your opinion about the	a) more than adequate - 08	26.67%
	library material and facilities for	b) adequate - 14	46.67%
	the course?	c) inadequate - 04	13.33%
		d) very poor - 04	13.33%
		Total: 30	100%
6.	To what extent were you able to	a) Easily - 17	56.67%
	get material for the prescribed	b) with some difficulty -	26.67%
	reading?	08	00%
		c) not available at all - 00	16.66%
		d) with great difficulty -	
		05	
		Total: 30	100%
7.	How well did the teacher prepare	a) thoroughly - 07	23.33%
	for the classes?	b) satisfactorily - 18	60.00%
		c) poorly - 05	16.67%
		d) indifferently - 00	00%
		Total: 30	100%
8.	How well was the teacher able to	a) always effective - 17	56.67%
	communicate?	b) sometimes effective -	26.67%
		08	10.00%
		c) just satisfactorily - 03	06.66%
		d) generally ineffective -	

		02	1000/
0	TT C 1	Total: 30	100%
9.	How far the teacher encourages	a) mostly yes - 16	53.33%
	student participation in class?	b) sometimes - 07	23.33%
		c) not at all - 02	06.67%
		d) always - 05	16.67%
		Total: 30	100%
10.	If yes, which of the following	a) encouraged to raise	
	methods were used?	questions - 14	46.67%
		b) get involved in discussion in	36.67%
		class - 11	16.66%
		c) encourage discussion	00%
		outside class- 05	
		d) did not encourage - 00	
		Total: 30	100%
11.	How helpful was the teacher in	a) very helpful - 18	60.00%
	advising?	b) sometimes helpful - 09	30.00%
		c) not at all helpful - 03	10.00%
		d) did not advise - 00	00%
		Total: 30	100%
12.	The teacher's approach can best	a) always courteous -	66.67%
	be described as	20	20.00%
		b) sometimes rude -	13.33%
		06	00%
		c) always indifferent - 04	
		d) cannot say - 00	
		Total: 30	100%
13.	Internal assessment was	a) always fair - 17	56.67%
		b) sometimes unfair - 05	16.66%
		c) usually unfair - 00	00%
		d) sometimes fair - 08	26.67%
		Total: 30	100%
14.	What effect do you think the	a) Helps to improve - 20	66.67%
	internal assessment will have on	b) discouraging - 00	00%
	your course grade?	c) no special effect - 03	10.00%
		d) sometimes effective- 07	23.33%
		Total: 30	100%
15.	How often did the teacher	a) regularly/ in time -	60.00%
	provide feedback on your	18	20.00%
	performance?	b) with helpful comment -	06.67%
		06	13.33%
		c) often/late - 02	
		d) without any comments -	
		04	
		Total: 30	100%
16.	Were your assignment discussed	a) Yes, Fully - 14	46.67%
	with you?	b) Yes, partly - 09	30.00%
		c) not discussed at all - 04	13.33%
		d) sometimes discussed -	10.00%
		03	
		Total: 30	100%
17.	Were you provided with a course	a) Yes - 24	80.00%
-	contributory lecture too at the	b) No - 06	20.00%
	beginning?	, ,	

	If yes, was: it helpful?	a) Yes - 24	80.00%
		b) No - 06	20.00%
		Total: 30	100%
18.	If you have other comments to offer on the course and suggestions for the teacher you may do so in the space given below or on a separate sheet	00	00%

- 1) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 17 (i.e. 56.67%) are saying the syllabus of each course was adequate.
- 2) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 14 (i.e. 46.67%) are saying that the background for benefiting for the course was adequate.
- 3) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 12 (i.e. 40.00%) are saying that the course was understand to manageable.
- 4) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 14 (i.e. 46.67%) are saying that the syllabus was covered in the class 70 to 85%.
- 5) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 14 (i.e. 46.67%) are saying that the library material and facilities for the course are adequate.
- 6) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 17 (i.e. 56.67%) are saying that the material for the prescribed reading were able to get easily.
- 7) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 18 (i.e. 60.00%) are saying that the teacher prepare for the classes will did satisfactorily.
- 8) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 17 (i.e. 56.67%) are saying that the teacher able to communicate well was always effective.
- 9) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 16 (i.e. 53.33%) are saying that the teacher encourages student participation in class for mostly yes.
- 10) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 14 (i.e. 46.67%) are saying that the teacher encourages student for encouraged to raise questions.
- 11) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 18 (i.e. 60.00%) are saying that the teacher was very helpful in advising.
- 12) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 20 (i.e. 66.67%) are saying that the teacher approach can be described as always courteous.
- 13) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 17 (i.e. 56.67%) are saying that the internal assessment was always fair.
- 14) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 20 (i.e. 66.67%) are saying that they think the effect of internal assessment will have on their course grade help to improve.

- 15) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 18 (i.e. 60.00%) are saying that the teacher provide feedback on their performance did regularly/in time.
- 16) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 14 (i.e. 46.67%) are saying that their assignment discussed with them yes fully.
- 17) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 24 (i.e. 80.00%) are saying that at the beginning them provided with a course contributory lecture and that lecture was helpful for them.


Athawale College of Social Work, Station Road, Bhandara Feedback from Students Questionnaire No. 1

B.S.W. – IV Sem. 2022-23

Programme: Semester/Term/Year

Department:

Students are required to rate the courses in the following attributes using the 4-point scale shown. The format given is for one course. Do the same for other courses on separate page.



Sr.	Parameters	A	В	С	D
No.		Very	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
		Good			
1	Depth of the Course	02	13	13	00
	content including project work if any	(07.14%)	(46.46%)	(46.46%)	
2		04	09	15	00
	Extent of coverage of course	(14.28%)	(32.14%)	(53.58%)	
3		02	12	14	00
		(07.14%)	(42.86%)	(50.00%)	
	Applicability/ relevance to	,		,	
4	real life situations	03	09	16	00
		(10.71%)	(32.14%)	(57.15%)	
				,	
	Learning value (in terms				
5	of knowledge, concepts,	04	11	13	00
	manual, skills, analytical	(14.28%)	(39.29%)	(46.43%)	
	abilities and broadening				
6	perspectives)	03	10	15	00
		(10.71%)	(35.72%)	(53.57%)	
	Clarity and relevance of				
7	textual reading material	02	12	14	00
		(07.14%)	(42.86%)	(50.00%)	
_	Relevance of additional				
8	source material (Library)	05	08	15	00
		(17.85%)	(28.58%)	(53.57%)	
	Extent of effort required				
	by students				
	Overall rating				

Feedback from Analysis

Questionnaire N0.1

B.S.W.-IV Sem. 2022-23

- 1) It is clear that the above table that majority of the respondents 13 (i.e. 46.46%) are same respondents are good and satisfactory from the course content including project work.
- 2) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 15 (i.e. 53.58%) are saying that extent of coverage of course is satisfactory.
- 3) It is clear that the above table that majority of the respondents 14 (i.e. 50.00%) students are satisfactory about the course applicability/relevance to real life situation.
- 4) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 16 (i.e. 57.15%) are saying that the course learning value is satisfactory.
- 5) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 13 (i.e. 46.43%) saying that the course clarity and relevance of textual reading material is satisfactory.
- 6) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 15 (i.e. 53.57%) saying that the course relevance of additional source material (Library) is satisfactory.
- 7) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 14 (i.e. 50.00%) are saying that the extent of the effort required by student's is satisfactory.
- 8) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 15 (i.e. 53.57%) the students saying that the course overall raiding is satisfactory.


Athawale College of Social Work, Station Road, Bhandara Feedback

Questionnaire No. 2 B.S.W. – IV Sem. 2022-23

Department Semester/Term/Year

:

Please rate the teacher on the following attributes using the 4-point scale shown.



Sr.	Parameters	A	В	C	D
No.		Very	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
		Good			
1	Knowledge base of the	03	09	16	00
	teacher (as perceived by	(10.71%)	(32.14%)	(57.15%)	
	you)				
2		04	11	13	00
	Communication Skills (in	(14.28%)	(39.28%)	(46.44%)	
	terms of articulation and	0.4	1.0		0.0
3	comprehensibility)	02	10	16	00
		(07.14%)	(35.71%)	(57.15%)	
4	Sincerity/Commitment of	05	00	1.5	00
4	the teacher	05 (17.950()	08	15	00
		(17.85%)	(28.57%)	(53.58%)	
5	Interest generated by the	03	09	16	00
	teacher	(10.71%)	(32.14%)	(57.15%)	00
	teacher	(10.7170)	(32.1470)	(37.1370)	
6	Ability to integrate course	02	10	16	00
	material with environment/	(07.14%)	(35.71%)	(57.15%)	
	other issues, to provide a	,	,	,	
7	broader perspective	03	12	13	00
		(10.71%)	(42.86%)	(46.43%)	
	Ability to integrate content				
	with other courses				
8		04	08	16	00
	Accessibility of the teacher	(14.28%)	(28.57%)	(57.15%)	
	in and out of the class				
	(includes availability of the				
9	teacher to motivate further	03	10	15	00
4.0	study and discussion	(10.71%)	(35.72%)	(53.57%)	
10	outside class)	06	00	1.4	00
	A1:11:4 4 1	06	08	14	00
	Ability to design quizzes/	(21.42%)	(28.58%)	(50.00%)	
	Tests/ assignments/				
	examinations and projects to evaluate students				
	understanding of the course				
	understanding of the course				
	Provision of sufficient time				
	for feedback				
<u> </u>	1011000000		1	1	I

Overall rating		

- 1) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 16 (i.e. 57.15%) are saying that the knowledge base of the teacher is satisfactory.
- 2) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 13 (i.e. 46.44%) are saying the communication skills is satisfactory.
- 3) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 16 (i.e. 57.15%) are saying that satisfactory of the sincerity/commitment of the teacher.
- 4) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 15 (i.e. 53.58%) are saying that the interest generated by the teacher is satisfactory.
- 5) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 16 (i.e. 57.15%) are saying that the ability to integrate course material with environment/ other issues to provide a broader perspective is satisfactory.
- 6) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 16 (i.e. 57.15%) are saying that the ability to integrate content with other courses is satisfactory.
- 7) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 13 (i.e. 43.46%) are saying that the Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class is satisfactory.
- 8) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 16 (i.e. 57.15%) are saying that the ability to design quizzes/tests / assignment/ examination and project to evaluate students understanding of the course is satisfactory.
- 9) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 15 (i.e. 53.57%) are saying that the provision of sufficient time for feedback is satisfactory.
- 10) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 14 (i.e. 50.00%) are saying the overall rating is satisfactory.

Athawale College of Social Work, Station Road, Bhandara Questionnaire No. 3

Answer Result B.S.W. – IV Sem. 2022-23

Student's overall Evaluation of the programme and Teaching

(To be filled only after, the course results are declared)

Department: Course Teacher: Year

Que. No.	Question	Option & Respondents	Percentage
1.	The syllabus of each course was.	a) adequate - 12	42.87%
		b) inadequate - 03	10.71%
		c) challenging - 13	46.42%
		d) dull - 00	00%
		Total: 28	100%
2.	Background for benefiting for	a) More than adequate- 14	50.00%
	the course was.	b) adequate - 10	35.72%
		c) inadequate - 04	14.28%
		d) can not say - 00	00%
		Total: 28	100%
3.	Was the course easy or difficult	a) easy - 15	53.58%
	to understand?	b) manageable - 10	35.71%
		c) difficult - 03	10.71%
		d) very difficult – 00	00%
		Total: 28	100%
4.	How much of the syllabus was	a) 85 to 100% - 12	42.86%
	covered in the class?	b) 70 to 85% - 13	46.43%
	Sovered in the Glassi	c) 55 to 70% - 03	10.71%
		d) less than 55% - 00	00%
		Total: 28	100%
5.	What is your opinion about the	a) more than adequate - 15	53.58%
0.	library material and facilities for	b) adequate - 11	39.28%
	the course?	c) inadequate - 02	07.14%
	ine course.	d) very poor - 00	00%
		Total: 28	100%
6.	To what extent were you able to	a) Easily - 17	60.72%
	get material for the prescribed	b) with some difficulty -	39.28%
	reading?	11	00%
		c) not available at all - 00	00%
		d) with great difficulty -	
		00	
		Total: 28	100%
7.	How well did the teacher prepare	a) thoroughly - 09	32.14%
	for the classes?	b) satisfactorily - 16	57.15%
		c) poorly - 03	10.71%
		d) indifferently - 00	00%
		Total: 28	100%
8.	How well was the teacher able to	a) always effective - 14	50.00%
	communicate?	b) sometimes effective -	35.72%
		10	14.28%
		c) just satisfactorily - 04	00%
		d) generally ineffective -	
		00	

		Total: 28	100%
9.	How far the teacher encourages	a) mostly yes - 18	64.29%
	student participation in class?	b) sometimes - 06	21.42%
		c) not at all - 04	14.29%
		d) always - 00	00%
		Total: 28	100%
10.	If yes, which of the following	a) encouraged to raise	42.85%
	methods were used?	questions- 12	
		b) get involved in discussion in	57.15%
		class - 16	
		c) encourage discussion	00%
		outside class - 00	00%
		d) did not encourage - 00	
		Total: 28	100%
11.	How helpful was the teacher in	a) very helpful - 13	46.43%
	advising?	b) sometimes helpful - 10	35.72%
		c) not at all helpful - 05	17.85%
		d) did not advise - 00	00%
		Total: 28	100%
12.	The teacher's approach can best	a) always courteous - 15	53.58%
12.	be described as	b) sometimes rude - 09	32.14%
	be described as	c) always indifferent - 04	14.28%
		d) cannot say - 00	00%
		Total: 28	100%
13.	Internal assessment was		42.86%
13.	internal assessment was	, ,	
		b) sometimes unfair - 10	35.72%
		c) usually unfair - 00	00%
		d) sometimes fair - 06 Total: 28	21.42%
1.4	XVII 4		100%
14.	What effect do you think the	a) Helps to improve - 13	46.43%
	internal assessment will have on	b) discouraging -	25.00%
	your course grade?	07	00%
		c) no special effect - 00	28.57%
		d) sometimes effective -	
		08	1000/
1.7	TT C TT T	Total: 28	100%
15.	How often did the teacher	a) regularly/ in time - 16	57.15%
	provide feedback on your	b) with helpful comment- 10	35.71%
	performance?	c) often/late - 00	00%
		d) without any comments - 02	07.14%
		Total: 28	100%
16.	Were your assignment discussed	a) Yes, Fully - 15	53.58%
	with you?	b) Yes, partly - 09	32.14%
		c) not discussed at all - 02	07.14%
		d) sometimes discussed -	07.14%
		02	
		Total: 28	100%
17.	Were you provided with a course	a) Yes - 21	75.00%
	contributory lecture too at the	b) No - 07	25.00%
	beginning?		
	If yes, was: it helpful?	a) Yes - 21	75.00%
	11 Jos, was it norprais	b) No - 07	25.00%
		Total: 28	100%
18.	If you have other comments to	00	00%
10.	in you have other collinelits to	00	UU 70

offer on the course and	
suggestions for the teacher you	
may do so in the space given	
below or on a separate sheet	

- 1) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 13 (i.e. 46.42%) are saying the syllabus of each course was challenging.
- 2) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 14 (i.e. 50.00%) are saying that the background for benefiting for the course was more than adequate.
- 3) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 15 (i.e. 53.58%) are saying that the course was understand to easy.
- 4) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 13 (i.e. 46.43%) are saying that the syllabus was covered in the class 70 to 85%.
- 5) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 15 (i.e. 53.58%) are saying that the library material and facilities for the course are more than adequate.
- 6) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 17 (i.e. 60.72%) are saying that the material for the prescribed reading were able to get easily.
- 7) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 16 (i.e. 57.15%) are saying that the teacher prepare for the classes will did satisfactorily.
- 8) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 14 (i.e. 50.00%) are saying that the teacher able to communicate well was always effective.
- 9) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 18 (i.e. 64.29%) are saying that the teacher encourages students participation in class for mostly yes.
- 10) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 16 (i.e. 57.15%) are saying that the teacher encourages students for get involved in discussion in class.
- 11) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 13 (i.e. 46.43%) are saying that very helpful.
- 12) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 15 (i.e. 53.58%) are saying that the teacher approach can be described as always courteous.
- 13) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 12 (i.e. 42.86%) are saying that the internal assessment was always fair.
- 14) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 13 (i.e. 46.43%) are saying that they think the effect of internal assessment will have on their course grade help to improve.
- 15) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 16 (i.e. 57.15%) are saying that the teacher provide feedback on their performance did regularly/ in time.

- 16) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 15 (i.e. 53.58%) are saying that their assignment discussed with them yes fully.
- 17) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 21 (i.e. 75.00%) are saying that at the beginning them provided with a course contributory lecture and that lecture was helpful for them.


Athawale College of Social Work, Station Road, Bhandara Feedback from Students Questionnaire No. 1

B.S.W. - VI Sem. 2022-23

Programme: Semester/Term/Year

Department:

Students are required to rate the courses in the following attributes using the 4-point scale shown. The format given is for one course. Do the same for other courses on separate page.



Sr. No.	Parameters	A Very Good	B Good	C Satisfactory	D Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the Course content including project work if any	02 (08.00%)	08 (32.00%)	15 (60.00%)	00
2	Extent of coverage of	04 (16.00%)	10 (40.00%)	11 (44.00%)	00
3	course	03 (12.00%)	07 (28.00%)	15 (60.00%)	00
4	Applicability/ relevance to real life situations	02 (08.00%)	09 (36.00%)	14 (56.00%)	00
5	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual, skills, analytical abilities and broadening	03 (12.00%)	06 (24.00%)	16 (64.00%)	00
6	perspectives)	04 (16.00%)	12 (48.00%)	09 (36.00%)	00
7	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material	02 (08.00%)	13 (52.00%)	10 (40.00%)	00
8	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	05 (20.00%)	09 (36.00%)	11 (44.00%)	00
	Extent of effort required by students				
	Overall rating				

Feedback from Analysis Questionnaire N0.1 B.S.W.-VI Sem. 2022-23


- 1) It is clear that the above table that majority of the respondents 15 (i.e. 60.00%) are saying that satisfactory from the course content including project work.
- 2) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 11 (i.e. 44.00%) are saying that extent of coverage of course is satisfactory.
- 3) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 15 (i.e. 60.00%) students are satisfactory about the course applicability/ relevance to real life situation.
- 4) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 14 (i.e. 56.00%) are saying that the course learning value is satisfactory.
- 5) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 16 (i.e. 64.00%) saying that the course clarity and relevance of textual reading material is satisfactory.
- 6) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 12 (i.e. 48.00%) saying that the course relevance of additional source material (Library) is good.
- 7) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 13 (i.e. 52.00%) are saying that the extent of the effort required by student's is good.
- 8) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 11 (i.e. 44.00%) the students saying that the course overall rating is satisfactory.

Athawale College of Social Work, Station Road, Bhandara Feedback

Questionnaire No. 2 B.S.W. – VI Sem. 2022-23

Department: Semester/Term/Year

Please rate the teacher on the following attributes using the 4-point scale shown.



Sr.	Parameters	A	В	C	D
No.		Very	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
		Good			
1	Knowledge base of the	03	12	10	00
	teacher (as perceived by	(12.00%)	(48.00%)	(40.00%)	
	you)				
2		02	08	15	00
	Communication Skills (in	(08.00%)	(32.00%)	(60.00%)	
_	terms of articulation and				
3	comprehensibility)	04	10	11	00
	G:	(16.00%)	(40.00%)	(44.00%)	
4	Sincerity/Commitment of	02	1.4	00	00
4	the teacher	03 (12.00%)	14 (56.00%)	08 (32.00%)	00
		(12.00%)	(36.00%)	(32.00%)	
5	Interest generated by the	02	09	14	00
	teacher	(08.00%)	(36.00%)	(56.00%)	00
	toucher	(00.0070)	(30.0070)	(30.0070)	
6	Ability to integrate course	03	07	15	00
	material with environment/	(12.00%)	(28.00%)	(60.00%)	
	other issues, to provide a				
7	broader perspective	04	09	12	00
	41.90	(16.00%)	(36.00%)	(48.00%)	
	Ability to integrate content				
	with other courses				
8		02	06	17	00
	Accessibility of the teacher	(08.00%)	(24.00%)	(68.00%)	
	in and out of the class	(00.0070)	(= 1100,0)	(00.0070)	
	(includes availability of the				
9	teacher to motivate further	03	08	14	00
	study and discussion	(12.00%)	(32.00%)	(56.00%)	
	outside class)				
10		05	07	13	00
	Ability to design quizzes/	(20.00%)	(28.00%)	(52.00%)	
	Tests/ assignments/				
	examinations and projects				
	to evaluate students				
	understanding of the course				
	Provision of sufficient time				
	1 2 2 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		1	1	l

for feedback		
Overall rating		

- 1) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 12 (i.e. 48.00%) are saying that the knowledge base of the teacher is good.
- 2) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 15 (i.e. 60.00%) are saying the communication skills is satisfactory.
- 3) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 11 (i.e. 44.00%) are saying that the sincerity/commitment of the teacher is satisfactory.
- 4) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 14 (i.e. 56.00%) are saying that the interest generated by the teacher is good.
- 5) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 14 (i.e. 56.00%) are saying that the ability to integrate course material with environment/ other issues to provide a broader perspective is satisfactory.
- 6) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 15 (i.e. 60.00%) are saying that the ability to integrate content with other courses is satisfactory.
- 7) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 12 (i.e. 48.00%) are saying that the accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class is satisfactory.
- 8) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 17 (i.e. 68.00%) are saying that the ability to design quizzes/tests / assignment/ examination and project to evaluate students understanding of the course is satisfactory.
- 9) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 14 (i.e. 56.00%) are saying that the provision of sufficient time for feedback is satisfactory.
- 10) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 13 (i.e. 52.00%) are saying the overall rating is satisfactory.

Athawale College of Social Work, Station Road, Bhandara Questionnaire No. 3

Answer Result B.S.W. – VI Sem. 2022-23

Student's overall Evaluation of the programme and Teaching

(To be filled only after, the course results are declared)

Department: Course Teacher: Year

Que. No.	Question	Option & Respondents	Percentage
1.	The syllabus of each course was.	a) adequate - 15	60.00%
		b) inadequate - 03	12.00%
		c) challenging - 07	28.00%
		d) dull - 00	00%
		Total: 25	100%
2.	Background for benefiting for	a) More than adequate- 10	40.00%
	the course was.	b) adequate - 13	52.00%
		c) inadequate - 02	08.00%
		d) can not say - 00	00%
		Total: 25	100%
3.	Was the course easy or difficult	a) easy - 11	44.00%
٥.	to understand?	b) manageable - 12	48.00%
	to didefisiting.	c) difficult - 02	08.00%
		d) very difficult – 00	00%
		Total: 25	100%
4.	How much of the syllabus was	a) 85 to 100% - 12	48.00%
••	covered in the class?	b) 70 to 85% - 10	40.00%
	covered in the class.	c) 55 to 70% - 13	12.00%
		d) less than 55% - 00	00%
		Total: 25	100%
5.	What is your opinion about the	a) more than adequate - 09	36.00%
٥.	library material and facilities for	b) adequate - 12	48.00%
	the course?	c) inadequate - 04	16.00%
	the course.	d) very poor - 00	00%
		Total: 25	100%
6.	To what extent were you able to	a) Easily - 14	56.00%
0.	get material for the prescribed	b) with some difficulty -	32.00%
	reading?	08	00%
	reading.	c) not available at all - 00	12.00%
		d) with great difficulty	12.0070
		03	
		Total: 25	100%
7.	How well did the teacher prepare	a) thoroughly - 13	52.00%
. •	for the classes?	b) satisfactorily - 09	36.00%
		c) poorly - 03	12.00%
		d) indifferently - 00	00%
		Total: 25	100%
8.	How well was the teacher able to	a) always effective - 12	48.00%
٠.	communicate?	b) sometimes effective -	52.00%
		13	00%
		c) just satisfactorily - 00	00%
		d) generally ineffective -	3373
		00	

		Total: 25	100%
9.	How far the teacher encourages	a) mostly yes - 08	32.00%
	student participation in class?	b) sometimes - 04	16.00%
		c) not at all - 00	00%
		d) always - 13	52.00%
		Total: 25	100%
10.	If yes, which of the following	a) encouraged to raise	
	methods were used?	questions - 14	56.00%
		b) get involved in discussion in	
		class - 11	44.00%
		c) encourage discussion	
		outside class - 00	00%
		d) did not encourage - 00	00%
		Total: 25	100%
11.	How helpful was the teacher in	a) very helpful - 11	44.00%
	advising?	b) sometimes helpful - 12	48.00%
		c) not at all helpful - 02	08.00%
		d) did not advise - 00	00%
		Total: 25	100%
12.	The teacher's approach can best	a) always courteous - 08	32.00%
	be described as	b) sometimes rude - 05	20.00%
	ac described us	c) always indifferent - 12	48.00%
		d) cannot say - 00	00%
		Total: 25	100%
13.	Internal assessment was	a) always fair - 15	60.00%
13.	internal assessment was	b) sometimes unfair - 03	12.00%
		c) usually unfair - 00	00%
		d) sometimes fair - 07	28.00%
		Total: 25	100%
14.	What effect do you think the	a) Helps to improve - 14	56.00%
17.	internal assessment will have on	b) discouraging -	12.00%
		03	00%
	your course grade?	c) no special effect - 00	32.00%
		d) sometimes effective -	32.0070
		08	
		Total: 25	100%
15.	How often did the teacher	a) regularly/ in time - 16	64.00%
10.	provide feedback on your	b) with helpful comment- 09	36.00%
	performance?	c) often/late - 00	00%
	Pariotinaneo.	d) without any comments - 00	00%
		Total: 25	100%
16.	Were your assignment discussed	a) Yes, Fully - 15	60.00%
10.	with you?	b) Yes, partly - 06	24.00%
	widi you.	c) not discussed at all - 04	16.00%
		d) sometimes discussed -	00%
		00	0070
		Total: 25	100%
17.	Were you provided with a course	a) Yes - 19	76.00%
1/.	contributory lecture too at the	b) No - 06	24.00%
	beginning?	0,110	∠ ¬.∪∪/∪
	If yes, was: it helpful?	a) Yes - 19	76.00%
	ii yes, was. it helpful:	b) No - 06	24.00%
		Total: 25	100%
18.	If you have other comments to	00	00%
10.	in you have other comments to	UU	00%

offer on the course and	
suggestions for the teacher you	
may do so in the space given	
below or on a separate sheet	

- 1) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 15 (i.e. 60.00%) are saying that the syllabus of each course was adequate.
- 2) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents there are 13 (i.e. 52.00%) are saying that the background for benefiting for the course was adequate.
- 3) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 12 (i.e. 48.00%) are saying that the course was understand to manageable.
- 4) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 12 (i.e. 48.00%) are saying that the syllabus was covered in the class 85 to 100%.
- 5) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 12 (i.e. 48.00%) are saying than the library material and facilities for the course are more than adequate.
- 6) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 14 (i.e. 56.00%) are saying that the material for the prescribed reading were able to get easily.
- 7) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 13 (i.e. 52.00%) are saying that the teacher prepare for the classes will did thoroughly.
- 8) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 13 (i.e. 52.00%) are saying that the teacher able to communicate well was same time effective.
- 9) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 13 (i.e. 52.00%) are saying that the teacher encourages students participation in class for always.
- 10) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 14 (i.e. 56.00%) are saying that the teacher encourages students for encouraged to raise questions.
- 11) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 12 (i.e. 48.00%) are saying that the teacher was some time helpful in advising.
- 12) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 12 (i.e. 48.00%) are saying that the teacher approach can be described as always indifferent.
- 13) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 15 (i.e. 60.00%) are saying that the internal assessment was always fair.
- 14) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 14 (i.e. 56.00%) students saying that they think the effect of internal assessment will have on their course grade helps to improve.
- 15) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 16 (i.e. 64.00%) are saying that the teacher provide feedback on their performance did regularly/ in time.

- 16) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 15 (i.e. 60.00%) are saying that their assignment discussed with them yes fully.
- 17) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 19 (i.e. 76.00%) are saying that at the beginning them provided with a course contributory lecture and that lecture was helpful for them.


Athawale College of Social Work, Station Road, Bhandara Feedback from Students Questionnaire No. 1

M.S.W. - II Sem. 2022-23

Programme: Semester/Term/Year

Department:

Students are required to rate the courses in the following attributes using the 4-point scale shown. The format given is for one course. Do the same for other courses on separate page.



Sr.	Parameters	A	В	С	D
No.		Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the Course	04	08	20	00
_	content including project work if any	(12.50%)	(25.00%)	(62.50%)	
2	Extent of coverage of	03 (09.37%)	10 (31.25%)	19 (59.37%)	00
3	course	02 (06.25%)	12 (37.50%)	18 (56.25%)	00
4	Applicability/ relevance to real life situations	03 (09.37%)	09 (28.12%)	20 (62.50%)	00
	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts,				
5	manual, skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	04 (12.25%)	11 (34.37%)	17 (53.12%)	00
6	parspectives)	02 (06.25%)	16 (50.00%)	14 (43.75%)	00
7	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material	03 (09.37%)	10 (31.25%)	19 (59.37%)	00
8	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	06 (18.75%)	08 (25.00%)	18 (56.25%)	00
	Extent of effort required by students		(
	Overall rating				

Feedback from Analysis

Questionnaire N0.1

M.S.W.-II Sem. 2022-23

- 1) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 20 (i.e. 62.50%) are saying satisfactory from the course content including project work.
- 2) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 19 (i.e. 59.37%) are saying that extent of coverage of course in college is satisfactory.
- 3) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 18 (i.e. 56.53%) students are satisfactory about the course applicability/ relevance to real life situation.
- 4) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 20 (i.e. 62.50%) are saying that the course of learning value is satisfactory.
- 5) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 17 (i.e. 53.12%) are saying that the course clarity and relevance of textual reading material is satisfactory.
- 6) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 16 (i.e. 50.00%) saying that the course relevance of additional source material (Library) is good.
- 7) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 19 (i.e. 59.37%) are saying that the extent of the effort required by student's is satisfactory.
- 8) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 18 (i.e. 56.25%) the students saying that the course overall rating is satisfactory.


Athawale College of Social Work, Station Road, Bhandara Feedback Questionnaire No. 2

M.S.W. – II Sem. 2022-23

Department Semester/Term/Year

:

Please rate the teacher on the following attributes using the 4-point scale shown.



Sr.	Parameters	A	В	С	D
No.		Very	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
		Good		J	J
1	Knowledge base of the	03	09	20	00
	teacher (as perceived by	(09.37%)	(28.12%)	(62.50%)	
	you)	,			
2		01	10	21	00
	Communication Skills (in	(03.12%)	(31.25%)	(62.62%)	
	terms of articulation and				
3	comprehensibility)	02	14	16	00
		(06.25%)	(43.75%)	(50.00%)	
	Sincerity/Commitment of				
4	the teacher	04	17	11	00
		(12.50%)	(53.12%)	(34.37%)	
_		0.0	0.0	22	
5	Interest generated by the	02	08	22	00
	teacher	(06.25%)	(25.00%)	(68.75%)	
6	Ability to integrate course	03	12	17	00
U	material with environment/	(09.37%)	(37.50%)	(53.12%)	00
	other issues, to provide a	(02.3170)	(37.3070)	(33.1270)	
7	broader perspective	02	11	19	00
	First Francis	(06.25%)	(34.37%)	(59.37%)	
	Ability to integrate content	,			
	with other courses				
8		04	12	16	00
	Accessibility of the teacher	(12.50%)	(37.50%)	(50.00%)	
	in and out of the class				
_	(includes availability of the				
9	teacher to motivate further	02	13	17	00
	study and discussion	(06.25%)	(40.62%)	(53.12%)	
10	outside class)	07	00	16	00
10	Ability to design anigges	07 (21.87%)	(28 12%)	16	00
	Ability to design quizzes/ Tests/ assignments/	(21.87%)	(28.12%)	(50.00%)	
	examinations and projects				
	to evaluate students				
	understanding of the course				
	and of the course				
L	1		1	l .	<u>. </u>

Provision of sufficient time for feedback		
Overall rating		

- 1) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 20 (i.e. 62.50%) are saying that the knowledge base of the teacher is satisfactory.
- 2) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 21 (i.e. 62.62%) are saying the communication skills is satisfactory.
- 3) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 16 (i.e. 50.00%) are saying that the sincerity/commitment of the teacher is satisfactory.
- 4) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 17 (i.e. 53.12%) are saying that the interest generated by the teacher is good and good.
- 5) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 22 (i.e. 68.75%) are saying that the ability to integrate course material with environment/ other issues to provide a broader perspective is satisfactory.
- 6) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 17 (i.e. 53.12%) are saying that the ability to integrate content with other courses is satisfactory.
- 7) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 19 (i.e. 59.37%) are saying that the accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class is satisfactory.
- 8) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 16 (i.e. 50.00%) are saying that the ability to design quizzes/tests / assignment/ examination and project to evaluate students understanding of the course is satisfactory.
- 9) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 17 (i.e. 53.12%) are saying that the provision of sufficient time for feedback is satisfactory.
- 10) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 16 (i.e. 50.00%) are saying the overall rating is satisfactory.

Athawale College of Social Work, Station Road, Bhandara Questionnaire No. 3

Answer Result M.S.W. – II Sem. 2022-23

Student's overall Evaluation of the programme and Teaching

(To be filled only after, the course results are declared)

Department: Course
Teacher: Year

	eacher: Ye			
Que. No.	Question	Option & Respondents	Percentage	
1.	The syllabus of each course was.	a) adequate - 17	53.12%	
	•	b) inadequate - 04	12.50%	
		c) challenging - 11	34.38%	
		d) dull - 00	00%	
		Total: 32	100%	
2.	Background for benefiting for	a) more than adequate -12	37.50%	
	the course was.	b) adequate - 17	53.12%	
		c) inadequate - 03	09.38%	
		d) cannot say - 00	00%	
		Total: 32	100%	
3.	Was the course easy or difficult	a) easy - 16	50.00%	
	to understand?	b) manageable - 09	28.12%	
		c) difficult - 07	21.88%	
		d) very difficult – 00	00%	
		Total: 32	100%	
4.	How much of the syllabus was	a) 85 to 100% - 10	31.25%	
	covered in the class?	b) 70 to 85% - 16	50.00%	
		c) 55 to 70% - 06	18.75%	
		d) less than 55% - 00	00%	
		Total: 32	100%	
5.	What is your opinion about the	a) more than adequate- 18	56.26%	
	library material and facilities for	b) adequate - 11	34.37%	
	the course?	c) inadequate - 03	09.37%	
		d) very poor - 00	00%	
		Total: 32	100%	
6.	To what extent were you able to	a) Easily - 16	50.00%	
	get material for the prescribed	b) with some difficulty- 10	31.25%	
	reading?	c) not available at all-00	00%	
	-	d) with great difficulty- 06	18.75%	
		Total: 32	100%	
7.	How well did the teacher prepare	a) thoroughly - 12	37.50%	
	for the classes?	b) satisfactorily - 15	46.88%	
		c) poorly - 05	15.62%	
		d) indifferently -00	00%	
		Total: 32	100%	
8.	How well was the teacher able to	a) always effective - 14	43.76%	
	communicate?	b) sometimes effective- 11	34.37%	
		c) just satisfactorily - 07	19.87%	
		d) generally ineffective- 00	00%	
		Total: 32	100%	
9.	How far the teacher encourages	a) mostly yes - 15	46.88%	
	student participation in class?	b) sometimes - 06	18.75%	
		c) not at all - 00	00%	
		d) always - 11	34.37%	
		Total: 32	100%	

10.	If yes, which of the following	a) encouraged to raise	62.50%
10.	methods were used?	questions- 20	37.50%
	medious were used:	b) get involved in discussion in	00%
		class - 12	00%
		c) encourage discussion	00,0
		outside class- 00	
		d) did not encourage - 00	
		Total: 32	100%
11.	How helpful was the teacher in	a) very helpful - 16	50.00%
	advising?	b) sometimes helpful- 09	28.13%
		c) not at all helpful - 07	21.87%
		d) did not advise - 00	00%
		Total: 32	100%
12.	The teacher's approach can best	a) always courteous - 18	56.25%
	be described as	b) sometimes rude - 08	25.00%
		c) always indifferent - 06	18.75%
		d) cannot say - 00	00%
		Total: 32	100%
13.	Internal assessment was	a) always fair - 19	59.37%
		b) sometimes unfair - 03	09.37%
		c) usually unfair - 00	00%
		d) sometimes fair - 10	31.26%
		Total: 32	100%
14.	What effect do you think the	a) Helps to improve - 17	52.13%
	internal assessment will have on	b) discouraging -	12.50%
	your course grade?	04	00%
	,	c) no special effect - 00	34.37%
		d) sometimes effective- 11	
		Total: 32	100%
15.	How often did the teacher	a) regularly/ in time -	46.88%
	provide feedback on your	15	37.50%
	performance?	b) with helpful comment -	15.62%
		12	00%
		c) often/late - 05	
		d) without any comments -	
		00	
		Total: 32	100%
16.	Were your assignment discussed	a) Yes, Fully - 17	53.13%
	with you?	b) Yes, partly - 08	25.00%
		c) not discussed at all - 03	09.37%
		d) sometimes discussed -	12.50%
		04	
		Total: 32	100%
17.	Were you provided with a course	a) Yes - 26	81.25%
	contributory lecture too at the	b) No - 06	18.75%
	beginning?		04.05=:
	16	a) Yes - 26	81.25%
	If yes, was: it helpful?	b) No - 06	18.75%
4.0	TC 1	Total: 32	100%
18.	If you have other comments to	00	00%
	offer on the course and		
	suggestions for the teacher you		
	may do so in the space given		
	below or on a separate sheet		

- 1) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 17 (i.e. 53.12%) are saying that the syllabus of each course was adequate.
- 2) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 17 (i.e. 53.12%) are saying that the background for benefiting for the course was adequate.
- 3) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 16 (i.e. 50.00%) are saying that the course was understand to easy.
- 4) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 16 (i.e. 50.00%) are saying that the syllabus was covered in the class 70 to 85%.
- 5) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 18 (i.e. 56.26%) are saying than the library material and facilities for the course are more than adequate.
- 6) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 16 (i.e. 50.00%) are saying that the material for the prescribed reading with easily.
- 7) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 15 (i.e. 46.88%) are saying that the teacher prepare for the classes will did satisfactorily.
- 8) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 14 (i.e. 43.76%) are saying that the teacher able to communicate well was always effective.
- 9) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 15 (i.e. 46.88%) are saying that the teacher encourages students participation in class for mostly yes.
- 10) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 20 (i.e. 62.50%) are saying that the teacher encourages students for encouraged to raise question.
- 11) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 16 (i.e. 50.00%) are saying that the teacher was very helpful in advising.
- 12) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 18 (i.e. 56.25%) are saying that the teacher approach can be described as always courteous.
- 13) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 19 (i.e. 59.37%) are saying that the internal assessment was always fair.
- 14) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 17 (i.e. 52.13%) students saying that they think the effect of internal assessment will have on their course grade helps to improve.
- 15) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 15 (i.e. 46.88%) are saying that the teacher provide feedback on their performance did regularly/ in time.
- 16) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 17 (i.e. 53.13%) are saying that their assignment discussed with them yes fully.
- 17) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 26 (i.e. 81.25%) are saying that at the beginning them provided with a course contributory lecture and that lecture was helpful for them.


Athawale College of Social Work, Station Road, Bhandara Feedback from Students Questionnaire No. 1

M.S.W. – IV Sem. 2022-23

Programme: Semester/Term/Year

Department:

Students are required to rate the courses in the following attributes using the 4-point scale shown. The format given is for one course. Do the same for other courses on separate page.



Sr. No.	Parameters	A Very Good	B Good	C Satisfactory	D Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the Course content including project work if any	03 (08.57%)	12 (34.28%)	20 (57.15%)	00
2	Extent of coverage of	02 (05.71%)	14 (40.00%)	19 (54.29%)	00
3	course	02 (05.71%)	16 (45.71%)	17 (48.58%)	00
4	Applicability/ relevance to real life situations	04 (11.42%)	12 (34.29%)	19 (54.29%)	00
5	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual, skills, analytical abilities and broadening	03 (08.57%)	14 (40.00%)	18 (51.43%)	00
6	perspectives)	03 (08.57%)	12 (34.28%)	20 (57.15%)	00
7	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material	02 (05.71%)	15 (42.86%)	18 (51.43%)	00
8	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	05 (14.28%)	10 (28.57%)	20 (57.15%)	00
	Extent of effort required by students				
	Overall rating				

Feedback from Analysis Questionnaire N0.1 M.S.W.-IV Sem. 2022-23

- 1) It is clear that the above table that majority of the respondents 20 (i.e. 57.15%) are satisfactory from the course content including project work.
- 2) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 19 (i.e. 54.29%) are satisfactory for extent of coverage of course in college.
- 3) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 17 (i.e. 48.58%) students are satisfactory about the course applicability/relevance to real life situation.
- 4) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 19 (i.e. 54.29%) are saying that the course learning value is satisfactory.
- 5) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 18 (i.e. 51.43%) are saying that the course clarity and relevance of textual reading material is satisfactory.
- 6) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 20 (i.e. 57.15%) saying that the course relevance of additional source material (Library) is satisfactory.
- 7) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 18 (i.e. 51.43%) are saying that the extent of the effort required by student's is satisfactory.
- 8) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 20 (i.e. 57.15%) the students saying that the course overall rating is satisfactory.


Athawale College of Social Work, Station Road, Bhandara Feedback

Questionnaire No. 2 M.S.W. – IV Sem. 2022-23

Department Semester/Term/Year

:

Please rate the teacher on the following attributes using the 4-point scale shown.



Sr.	Parameters	A	В	C	D
No.		Very	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
		Good			
1	Knowledge base of the	05	13	17	00
	teacher (as perceived by you)	(14.28%)	(37.14%)	(48.58%)	
2	Communication Chills (in	02	10	1.4	00
2	Communication Skills (in terms of articulation and	03	18	14	00
	comprehensibility)	(08.57%)	(51.43%)	(40.00%)	
3	comprehensionity)	02	12	21	00
	Sincerity/Commitment of the	(05.72%)	(34.28%)	(60.00%)	
	teacher	(0017270)	(8=878)	(00.00,0)	
4		04	14	17	00
		(11.42%)	(40.00%)	(48.58%)	
	Interest generated by the				
5	teacher	03	13	19	00
		(08.58%)	(37.14%)	(54.28%)	
	At the control of				
6	Ability to integrate course	05	10	10	00
6	material with environment/	(14.29%)	12 (34.29%)	18	00
	other issues, to provide a broader perspective	(14.29%)	(34.29%)	(51.42%)	
7	broader perspective	04	14	17	00
,	Ability to integrate content	(11.42%)	(40.00%)	(48.58%)	
	with other courses	(/-/	(10000)	(1010070)	
8	Accessibility of the teacher	03	12	20	00
	in and out of the class	(08.57%)	(34.28%)	(57.15%)	
	(includes availability of the				
0	teacher to motivate further	02	1.4	10	00
9	study and discussion outside	02 (05.71%)	14 (40.00%)	19	00
	class)	(03.71%)	(40.00%)	(54.29%)	
10	Ability to design quizzes/	07	11	17	00
10	Tests/ assignments/	(20.00%)	(31.42%)	(48.58%)	
	examinations and projects to	,	,		
	evaluate students				
	understanding of the course				
	Provision of sufficient time				
	for feedback				

Overall rating		

- 1) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 17 (i.e. 48.58%) are saying that the knowledge base of the teacher is satisfactory.
- 2) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 18 (i.e. 51.43%) are saying the communication skills is good.
- 3) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 21 (i.e. 60.00%) are saying that the sincerity/commitment of the teacher is satisfactory.
- 4) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 17 (i.e. 48.58%) are saying that the interest generated by the teacher is satisfactory.
- 5) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 19 (i.e. 54.28%) are saying that the ability to integrate course material with environment/ other issues to provide a broader perspective is satisfactory.
- 6) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 18 (i.e. 51.42%) are saying that the ability to integrate content with other courses is satisfactory.
- 7) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 17 (i.e. 48.58%) are saying that the accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class is satisfactory.
- 8) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 20 (i.e. 57.15%) are saying that the ability to design quizzes/tests / assignment/ examination and project to evaluate students understanding of the course is satisfactory.
- 9) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 19 (i.e. 54.29%) are saying that the provision of sufficient time for feedback is satisfactory.
- 10) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 17 (i.e. 48.58%) are saying the overall rating is satisfactory.

Answer Result M.S.W. – IV Sem. 2022-23

Student's overall Evaluation of the programme and Teaching

(To be filled only after, the course results are declared)

Department: Course
Teacher: Year

Que. No.	Question	Option & Respondents	Percentage		
1.	The syllabus of each course was.	a) adequate - 17	48.58%		
		b) inadequate - 05	14.28%		
		c) challenging - 13	37.14%		
		d) dull - 00	00%		
		Total: 35	100%		
2.	Background for benefiting for	a) more than adequate -13	37.15%		
	the course was.	b) adequate - 18	51.53%		
		c) inadequate - 04	11.42%		
		d) cannot say - 00	00%		
		Total: 35	100%		
3.	Was the course easy or difficult	a) easy - 19	54.28%		
	to understand?	b) manageable - 09	25.72%		
		c) difficult - 07	20.00%		
		d) very difficult – 00	00%		
		Total: 35	100%		
4.	How much of the syllabus was	a) 85 to 100% - 14	40.00%		
	covered in the class?	b) 70 to 85% - 16	45.72%		
	1	c) 55 to 70% - 05	14.28%		
		d) less than 55% - 00	00%		
		Total: 35	100%		
5.	What is your opinion about the	a) more than adequate - 12	34.29%		
υ.	library material and facilities for	b) adequate - 18	51.42%		
	the course?	c) inadequate - 05	14.29%		
		d) very poor - 00	00%		
		Total: 35	100%		
6.	To what extent were you able to	a) Easily - 21	60.00%		
٥.	get material for the prescribed	b) with some difficulty- 18	28.58%		
	reading?	c) not available at all - 00	00%		
	l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l	d) with great difficulty- 04	11.42%		
		Total: 35	100%		
7.	How well did the teacher prepare	a) thoroughly - 12	34.28%		
,,	for the classes?	b) satisfactorily - 17	48.58%		
	Tot the classes.	c) poorly - 06	17.14%		
		d) indifferently – 00	00%		
		Total: 35	100%		
8.	How well was the teacher able to	a) always effective - 19	54.28%		
٥.	communicate?	b) sometimes effective- 09	25.72%		
		c) just satisfactorily - 07	20.00%		
		d) generally ineffective- 00	00%		
		Total: 35	100%		
9.	How far the teacher encourages	a) mostly yes - 22	62.86%		
7.	student participation in class?	b) sometimes - 07	20.00%		
	student participation in class:	c) not at all - 00	00%		
		d) always - 06	17.14%		

		Total: 35	100%
10.	If yes, which of the following	a) encouraged to raise	37.15%
	methods were used?	questions - 13	62.85%
		b) get involved in discussion in	00%
		class - 22	00%
		c) encourage discussion	
		outside class- 00	
		d) did not encourage - 00	
		Total: 35	100%
11.	How helpful was the teacher in	a) very helpful - 18	51.53%
	advising?	b) sometimes helpful - 09	25.72%
		c) not at all helpful - 08	22.85%
		d) did not advise - 00	00%
		Total: 35	100%
12.	The teacher's approach can best	a) always courteous - 21	60.00%
12.	be described as	b) sometimes rude - 08	22.86%
	be described us	c) always indifferent - 06	17.14%
		d) cannot say - 00	00%
		Total: 35	100%
13.	Internal assessment was	a) always fair - 20	57.15%
13.	internal assessment was	b) sometimes unfair - 04	11.42%
		c) usually unfair - 00	00%
			31.43%
		d) sometimes fair - 11	
1.4	W/1-4 - CC-4 1 41-1-1-41-	Total: 35	100%
14.	What effect do you think the	a) Helps to improve - 19	54.28%
	internal assessment will have on	b) discouraging -	08.57%
	your course grade?	03	00%
		c) no special effect - 00	37.15%
		d) sometimes effective -	
		13	1000/
15.	How often did the teacher	Total: 35	100% 34.28%
13.		a) regularly/ in time - 12	45.72%
	provide feedback on your	b) with helpful comment- 16	
	performance?	c) often/late - 07	20.00%
		d) without any comments - 00	00%
1.0	XX7	Total: 35	100%
16.	Were your assignment discussed	a) Yes, Fully - 22	62.86%
	with you?	b) Yes, partly - 08	22.86%
		c) not discussed at all - 00	00%
		d) sometimes discussed -	14.28%
		05	1000/
1.7	177	Total: 35	100%
17.	Were you provided with a course	a) Yes - 28	80.00%
	contributory lecture too at the	b) No - 07	20.00%
	beginning?		
	If was was it halpful?	a) Yes - 28	80.00%
	If yes, was: it helpful?	a) Yes - 28 b) No - 07	20.00%
		Total: 35	
10	If you have other comments to	10tal: 35 00	100%
18.	If you have other comments to offer on the course and	00	UU%
	suggestions for the teacher you		
	may do so in the space given		
	below or on a separate sheet		

Questionnaire No. 3

- 1) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 17 (i.e. 48.58%) are saying that the syllabus of each course was adequate.
- 2) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents there are 18 (i.e. 51.53%) are saying that the background for benefiting for the course was adequate.
- 3) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 19 (i.e. 54.28%) are saying that the course was understand to easy.
- 4) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 16 (i.e. 45.72%) are saying that the syllabus was covered in the class 70 to 85%.
- 5) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 18 (i.e. 51.42%) are saying than the library material and facilities for the course are adequate.
- 6) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 21 (i.e. 60.00%) are saying that the material for the prescribed reading with easily.
- 7) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 17 (i.e. 48.58%) are saying that the teacher prepare for the classes will did satisfactorily.
- 8) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 19 (i.e. 54.28%) are saying that the teacher able to communicate well was always effective.
- 9) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 22 (i.e. 62.86%) are saying that the teacher encourages students participation in class mostly yes.
- 10) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 22 (i.e. 62.85%) are saying that the teacher encourages students for participation in class get involved in discussion in class.
- 11) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 18 (i.e. 51.53%) are saying that the teacher was very helpful in advising.
- 12) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 21 (i.e. 60.00%) are saying that the teacher approach can be described as always courteous.
- 13) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 20 (i.e. 57.15%) are saying that the internal assessment was always fair.
- 14) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 19 (i.e. 54.28%) students saying that they think the effect of internal assessment will have on their course grade help to improve.
- 15) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 12 (i.e. 34.28%) are saying that the teacher provide feedback on their performance did regularly/ in time.
- 16) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 22 (i.e. 62.86%) are saying that their assignment discussed with them yes fully.

17) It is clear from the above table that majority of the respondents 28 (i.e. 80.00%) are saying that at the beginning them provided with a course contributory lecture and that lecture was helpful for them.

ATHAVALE COLLEGE OF SOCIAL WORK

Station Road BHANDARA- 441904 (M.S.) Phone:(07184)254511 (Recognized by Govt. of Maharashtra & Affiliated to R.T.M. Nagpur University, Approved by U.G.C. Section 2F, New Delhi, NAAC Accredited Grade 'A')

Ref.No.: ACSW/B/ Dt.:

Action Taken Feedback Report

Session: 2022-2023

The principal of Athawale College of Social Work, Bhandara, read the feedback report and has take the action on the teacher staff. The principal organize the all teaching staff meeting, in meeting first criteria feedback the majority of the students' only 65 to 70% given the response on knowledge base on teacher is good and satisfactory, on the analysis the principal warn to teacher they improve their knowledge. Only 58 to 65% students' saying that the communication skill of the teacher is good and satisfactory; so the principal take the action on the teacher and warn them improve their communication skill. 65 to 70% students saying that the Sincerity/Commitment of the teacher on the analysis the principal warn to teacher they improve their Sincerity/Commitment with students. 75 to 80% students saying that the interest generated by the teacher in teaching is good and satisfactory; so the principal told the teacher to create the new teaching method and create the interest to teach the students' in the class room.

72to 77% student's saying that the ability to integrate course material with environment/ other issues to provide a border perspective is good and satisfactory. So the principal advise to the teacher staff to increase the ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a border perspective. 70 to 75% students are saying that the ability to integrate content with other courses; So the principal warn to increase the ability to integrate course material. 60 to 65 % student's saying the accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class is satisfactory and good. The principal inactive to all teacher to increase the accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class. 75 to 80% students saying that incentive to increase and use the many methods create the ability to design quizzes/assignment/examination and project to evaluate student's understanding of the course. 65 to 70% student's saying that the provision of sufficient time for feedback is good and satisfactory, The principal advise to all teacher staff to give the long and sufficient time for feedback. 68 to 73% student's saying that the overall rating is good and satisfactory. The principal advise to all teacher staff to try better for the student's educational future.

Athawale College of Social Work, Station Road, Bhandara Feedback from Students Questionnaire No. 1

B.S.W. - II Sem. 2022-23

Programme: Semester/Term/Year

Department:

Students are required to rate the courses in the following attributes using the 4-point scale shown. The format given is for one course. Do the same for other courses on separate page.



Sr.	Parameters	A	В	C	D
No.		Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the Course content	03	07	20	00
	including project work if any	(10.00%)	(23.33%)	(66.66%)	
2	Extent of coverage of course	02	15	13	00
	·	(06.66%)	(50.00%)	(43.33%)	
3	Applicability/ relevance to real life	02	12	16	00
	situations	(06.66%)	(40.00%)	(53.33%)	
4	Learning value (in terms of	03	13	14	00
	knowledge, concepts, manual, skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	(10.00%)	(43.33%)	(46.66%)	
5	Clarity and relevance of textual	02	15	13	00
	reading material	(06.66%)	(50.00%)	(43.33%)	
6	Relevance of additional source	04	11	15	00
	material (Library)	(13.33%)	(36.66%)	(50.00%)	
7	Extent of effort required by students	03	15	12	00
		(10.00%)	(50.00%)	(40.00%)	
8	Overall rating	05	09	16	00
		(16.66%)	(30.00%)	(53.33%)	

Athawale College of Social Work, Station Road, Bhandara Feedback

Questionnaire No. 2

B.S.W. – II Sem. 2022-23

Department

Semester/Term/Year

:

Please rate the teacher on the following attributes using the 4-point scale shown.



Sr. No.	Parameters	A Very Good	B Good	C Satisfactory	D Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as	05	07	18	00
	perceived by you)	(16.66%)	(23.33%)	(60.00%)	
2	Communication Skills (in terms of	03	15	12	00
	articulation and comprehensibility)	(10.00%)	(50.00%)	(40.00%)	
3	Sincerity/Commitment of the	02	17	11	00
	teacher	(06.66%)	(56.66%)	(36.66%)	
4	Interest generated by the teacher	04	16	10	00
		(13.33%)	(53.33%)	(33.33%)	
5	Ability to integrate course material	03	17	10	00
	with environment/ other issues, to provide a broader perspective	(10.00%)	(56.66%)	(33.33%)	
6	Ability to integrate content with	02	14	14	00
	other courses	(06.66%)	(46.66%)	(46.66)	
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and	02	13	15	00
	out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	(06.66%)	(43.33%)	(50.00%)	
8	Ability to design quizzes/ Tests/	05	14	11	00
	assignments/ examinations and	(16.66%)	(46.66%)	(36.66%)	
	projects to evaluate students understanding of the course				
9	Provision of sufficient time for	03	12	15	00
	feedback	(10.00%)	(40.00%)	(50.00%)	-
10	Overall rating	05	10	15	00
		(16.66%)	(33.33%)	(50.00%)	

Student's overall Evaluation of the programme and Teaching

(To be filled only after, the course results are declared)

	partment: Course Year
Yo	our responses will be seen only after your course results have been finalized and recorded.
Th	e information will be used only for the improvement of the course and teaching in future.
Yo	ou need disclose your name if you do not wish to ou may tick more than one answer to a question to the extent that they do not contradict each ner.
1.	The syllabus of each course was. a) adequate b) inadequate c) challenging d) dull
2.	Background for benefiting for the course was. a) more than adequate b) adequate c) inadequate d) cannot say
3.	Was the course easy or difficult to understand? a) easy b) manageable c) difficult d) very difficult
4.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class? a) 85 to 100% b) 70 to 85% c) 55 to 70% d) less than 55%
5.	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course? a) more than adequate b) adequate c) inadequate d) very poor
6.	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed reading? a) Easily b) with some difficulty c) not available at all d) with great difficulty
7.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes? a) thoroughly b) satisfactorily c) poorly d) indifferently
	How well was the teacher able to communicate? a) always effective b) sometimes effective c) just satisfactorily d) generally ineffective
9.	How far the teacher encourages student participation in class? a) mostly yes b) sometimes c) not at all d) always
10.	a) encouraged to raise questions b) get involved in discussion in class c) encourage discussion outside class d) did not encourage
11.	. How helpful was the teacher in advising? a) very helpful b) sometimes helpful c) not at all helpful d) did not advise
12.	The teacher's approach can best be described as a) always courteous b) sometimes rude c) always indifferent d) cannot say
13.	. Internal assessment was a) always fair b) sometimes unfair c) usually unfair d) sometimes fair

14.	. What effect do you think		the internal assessment will have on your co		our cou	rse grade?		
	a) Helps to improve	ve	b) discouragi	ng c)	no special e	ffect	d) sometimes e	effective
15.	How often did the	teacher	provide feed	back on you	r performan	ce?		
	a) regularly/ in tin	ne	b) with helpf	ul comment	c) ofter	n/late	d) without any	comments
16.	Were your assigni	ment dis	scussed with y	ou?				
	a) Yes, Fully	b) Yes,	, partly	c) not disc	cussed at all		d) sometimes d	liscussed
17.	Were you provide	d with a	course contr	ibutory lectu	ire too at the	beginn	ing?	
	a) Yes	b) No						
	If yes, was: it help	oful?						
	a) Yes	b) No						

18. If you have other comments to offer on the course and suggestions for the teacher you may do so in the space given below or on a separate sheet.

Answer Result B.S.W. – II Sem. 2022-23

Student's overall Evaluation of the programme and Teaching

(To be filled only after, the course results are declared)

Department: Course Teacher: Year

Que. No.	Question	Option & Respondents	Percentage
1.	The syllabus of each course was.	a) adequate - 17	56.67%
		b) inadequate - 04	13.33%
		c) challenging - 09	30.00%
		d) dull - 00	00%
		Total: 30	100%
2.	Background for benefiting for the	a) More than adequate - 12	40.00%
	course was.	b) adequate - 14	46.67%
		c) inadequate - 04	13.33%
		d) cannot say - 00	00%
		Total: 30	100%
3.	Was the course easy or difficult to	a) easy - 11	36.67%
	understand?	b) manageable - 12	40.00%
		c) difficult - 04	13.33%
		d) very difficult – 03	10.00%
		Total: 30	100%
4.	How much of the syllabus was	a) 85 to 100% - 09	30.00%
••	covered in the class?	b) 70 to 85% - 14	46.67%
	Sovered in the stands.	c) 55 to 70% - 07	23.33%
		d) less than 55% - 00	00%
		Total: 30	100%
5.	What is your opinion about the	a) more than adequate - 08	26.67%
٥.	library material and facilities for the	b) adequate - 14	46.67%
	course?	c) inadequate - 04	13.33%
	coarse.	d) very poor - 04	13.33%
		Total: 30	100%
6.	To what extent were you able to get	a) Easily - 17	56.67%
٠.	material for the prescribed reading?	b) with some difficulty - 08	26.67%
		c) not available at all - 00	00%
		d) with great difficulty - 05	16.66%
		Total: 30	100%
7.	How well did the teacher prepare	a) thoroughly - 07	23.33%
, .	for the classes?	b) satisfactorily - 18	60.00%
		c) poorly - 05	16.67%
		d) indifferently - 00	00%
		Total: 30	100%
8.	How well was the teacher able to	a) always effective - 17	56.67%
٥.	communicate?	b) sometimes effective - 08	26.67%
		c) just satisfactorily - 03	10.00%
		d) generally ineffective - 02	06.66%
Total:			100%
9.	How far the teacher encourages	a) mostly yes - 16	53.33%
· ·	student participation in class?	b) sometimes - 07	23.33%
	brache participation in class.	c) not at all - 02	06.67%
		d) always - 05	16.67%
		Total: 30	100%

10.	If yes, which of the following methods were used?	a) encouraged to raise questions - 14	46.67%
		b) get involved in discussion in class - 11	36.67%
		c) encourage discussion outside class - 05	16.66%
		d) did not encourage - 00	00%
		Total: 30	100%
11.	How helpful was the teacher in	a) very helpful - 18	60.00%
	advising?	b) sometimes helpful - 09	30.00%
		c) not at all helpful - 03	10.00%
		d) did not advise - 00	00%
		Total: 30	100%
12.	The teacher's approach can best be	a) always courteous - 20	66.67%
	described as	b) sometimes rude - 06	20.00%
		c) always indifferent - 04	13.33%
		d) cannot say - 00	00%
		Total: 30	100%
13.	Internal assessment was	a) always fair - 17	56.67%
		b) sometimes unfair - 05	16.66%
		c) usually unfair - 00	00%
		d) sometimes fair - 08	26.67%
		Total: 30	100%
14.	What effect do you think the	a) Helps to improve - 20	66.67%
	internal assessment will have on	b) discouraging - 00	00%
	your course grade?	c) no special effect - 03	10.00%
		d) sometimes effective - 07	23.33%
		Total: 30	100%
15.	How often did the teacher provide	a) regularly/ in time - 18	60.00%
	feedback on your performance?	b) with helpful comment- 06	20.00%
		c) often/late - 02	06.67%
		d) without any comments - 04	13.33%
		Total: 30	100%
16.	Were your assignment discussed	a) Yes, Fully - 14	46.67%
	with you?	b) Yes, partly - 09	30.00%
		c) not discussed at all - 04	13.33%
		d) sometimes discussed -03	10.00%
		Total: 30	100%
17.	Were you provided with a course	a) Yes - 24	80.00%
	contributory lecture too at the beginning?	b) No - 06	20.00%
			00.000
	If yes, was: it helpful?	a) Yes - 24	80.00%
		b) No - 06	20.00%
10	TC 1 1 22	Total: 30	100%
18.	If you have other comments to offer on the course and suggestions for the teacher you may do so in the space given below or on a separate sheet	00	00%

Athawale College of Social Work, Station Road, Bhandara Feedback from Students Questionnaire No. 1

B.S.W. - IV Sem. 2022-23

Programme: Semester/Term/Year

Department:

Students are required to rate the courses in the following attributes using the 4-point scale shown. The format given is for one course. Do the same for other courses on separate page.



Sr.	Parameters	A	В	C	D
No.		Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the Course content	02	13	13	00
	including project work if any	(07.14%)	(46.46%)	(46.46%)	
2	Extent of coverage of course	04	09	15	00
	-	(14.28%)	(32.14%)	(53.58%)	
3	Applicability/ relevance to real life	02	12	14	00
	situations	(07.14%)	(42.86%)	(50.00%)	
4	Learning value (in terms of	03	09	16	00
	knowledge, concepts, manual, skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	(10.71%)	(32.14%)	(57.15%)	
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material	04 (14.28%)	11 (39.29%)	13 (46.43%)	00
6	Relevance of additional source	03	10	15	00
	material (Library)	(10.71%)	(35.72%)	(53.57%)	00
7	Extent of effort required by students	02	12	14	00
		(07.14%)	(42.86%)	(50.00%)	
8	Overall rating	05	08	15	00
		(17.85%)	(28.58%)	(53.57%)	

Athawale College of Social Work, Station Road, Bhandara Feedback

Questionnaire No. 2

B.S.W. – IV Sem. 2022-23

Department

Semester/Term/Year

:

Please rate the teacher on the following attributes using the 4-point scale shown.



Sr. No.	Parameters	A Very Good	B Good	C Satisfactory	D Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as	03	09	16	00
	perceived by you)	(10.71%)	(32.14%)	(57.15%)	
2	Communication Skills (in terms of	04	11	13	00
	articulation and comprehensibility)	(14.28%)	(39.28%)	(46.44%)	
3	Sincerity/Commitment of the	02	10	16	00
	teacher	(07.14%)	(35.71%)	(57.15%)	
4	Interest generated by the teacher	05	08	15	00
		(17.85%)	(28.57%)	(53.58%)	
5	Ability to integrate course material	03	09	16	00
	with environment/ other issues, to provide a broader perspective	(10.71%)	(32.14%)	(57.15%)	
6	Ability to integrate content with	02	10	16	00
	other courses	(07.14%)	(35.71%)	(57.15%)	
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and	03	12	13	00
	out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	(10.71%)	(42.86%)	(46.43%)	
8	Ability to design quizzes/ Tests/	04	08	16	00
	assignments/ examinations and	(14.28%)	(28.57%)	(57.15%)	
	projects to evaluate students understanding of the course				
9	Provision of sufficient time for	03	10	15	00
	feedback	(10.71%)	(35.72%)	(53.57%)	
10	Overall rating	06	08	14	00
		(21.42%)	(28.58%)	(50.00%)	

Student's overall Evaluation of the programme and Teaching

(To be filled only after, the course results are declared)

	partment: Course Year
Yo	our responses will be seen only after your course results have been finalized and recorded.
Th	e information will be used only for the improvement of the course and teaching in future.
Yo	ou need disclose your name if you do not wish to bu may tick more than one answer to a question to the extent that they do not contradict each ner.
1.	The syllabus of each course was. a) adequate b) inadequate c) challenging d) dull
2.	Background for benefiting for the course was. a) more than adequate b) adequate c) inadequate d) cannot say
3.	Was the course easy or difficult to understand? a) easy b) manageable c) difficult d) very difficult
4.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class? a) 85 to 100% b) 70 to 85% c) 55 to 70% d) less than 55%
5.	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course? a) more than adequate b) adequate c) inadequate d) very poor
6.	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed reading? a) Easily b) with some difficulty c) not available at all d) with great difficulty
7.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes? a) thoroughly b) satisfactorily c) poorly d) indifferently
	How well was the teacher able to communicate? a) always effective b) sometimes effective c) just satisfactorily d) generally ineffective
9.	How far the teacher encourages student participation in class? a) mostly yes b) sometimes c) not at all d) always
10.	a) encouraged to raise questions b) get involved in discussion in class c) encourage discussion outside class d) did not encourage
11.	. How helpful was the teacher in advising? a) very helpful b) sometimes helpful c) not at all helpful d) did not advise
12.	The teacher's approach can best be described as a) always courteous b) sometimes rude c) always indifferent d) cannot say
13.	. Internal assessment was a) always fair b) sometimes unfair c) usually unfair d) sometimes fair

14.	. What effect do you think		the internal assessment will have on your co		course grade?		
	a) Helps to improve	ve	b) discouragi	ng c) n	o special effect	d) sometimes effective	
15.	How often did the	teache	r provide feed	back on your	performance?		
	a) regularly/ in tin	ne	b) with helpf	ul comment	c) often/late	e d) without any commen	ts
16.	Were your assigni	ment di	scussed with y	ou?			
	a) Yes, Fully	b) Yes	, partly	c) not discu	issed at all	d) sometimes discussed	
17.	Were you provide	d with	a course contri	butory lectur	e too at the beg	inning?	
	a) Yes	b) No					
	If yes, was: it help	oful?					
	a) Yes	b) No					

18. If you have other comments to offer on the course and suggestions for the teacher you may do so in the space given below or on a separate sheet.

Answer Result B.S.W. – IV Sem. 2022-23

Student's overall Evaluation of the programme and Teaching

(To be filled only after, the course results are declared)

Department: Course Teacher: Year

Que. No.	Question	Option & Respondents	Percentage
1.	The syllabus of each course was.	a) adequate - 12	42.87%
		b) inadequate - 03	10.71%
		c) challenging - 13	46.42%
		d) dull - 00	00%
		Total: 28	100%
2.	Background for benefiting for the	a) More than adequate - 14	50.00%
	course was.	b) adequate - 10	35.72%
		c) inadequate - 04	14.28%
		d) can not say - 00	00%
		Total: 28	100%
3.	Was the course easy or difficult to	a) easy - 15	53.58%
٥.	understand?	b) manageable - 10	35.71%
		c) difficult - 03	10.71%
		d) very difficult – 00	00%
		Total: 28	100%
4.	How much of the syllabus was	a) 85 to 100% - 12	42.86%
т.	covered in the class?	b) 70 to 85% - 13	46.43%
	covered in the class.	c) 55 to 70% - 03	10.71%
		d) less than 55% - 00	00%
		Total: 28	100%
5.	What is your opinion about the	a) more than adequate - 15	53.58%
3.	library material and facilities for the	b) adequate - 11	39.28%
	course?	c) inadequate - 02	07.14%
	course:	d) very poor - 00	00%
		Total: 28	100%
6.	To what extent were you able to get	a) Easily - 17	60.72%
0.	material for the prescribed reading?	b) with some difficulty - 11	39.28%
	material for the presented reading.	c) not available at all - 00	00%
		d) with great difficulty - 00	00%
		Total: 28	100%
7.	How well did the teacher prepare	a) thoroughly - 09	32.14%
,.	for the classes?	b) satisfactorily - 16	57.15%
	for the classes:	c) poorly - 03	10.71%
		d) indifferently - 00	00%
		Total: 28	100%
8.	How well was the teacher able to	a) always effective - 14	50.00%
0.	communicate?	b) sometimes effective - 10	35.72%
	Communicate:	c) just satisfactorily - 04	14.28%
		d) generally ineffective - 00	00%
		Total: 28	100%
9.	How far the teacher encourages		64.29%
9.		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	21.42%
	student participation in class?	,	14.29%
		,	00%
		, ,	
		Total: 28	100%

10.	If yes, which of the following methods were used?	a) encouraged to raise questions - 12	42.85%
		b) get involved in discussion in class - 16	57.15%
		c) encourage discussion outside class - 00	00%
		d) did not encourage - 00	00%
		Total: 28	100%
11.	How helpful was the teacher in	a) very helpful - 13	46.43%
	advising?	b) sometimes helpful - 10	35.72%
		c) not at all helpful - 05	17.85%
		d) did not advise - 00	00%
		Total: 28	100%
12.	The teacher's approach can best be	a) always courteous - 15	53.58%
	described as	b) sometimes rude - 09	32.14%
		c) always indifferent - 04	14.28%
		d) cannot say - 00	00%
		Total: 28	100%
13.	Internal assessment was	a) always fair - 12	42.86%
		b) sometimes unfair - 10	35.72%
		c) usually unfair - 00	00%
		d) sometimes fair - 06	21.42%
		Total: 28	100%
14.	What effect do you think the	a) Helps to improve - 13	46.43%
	internal assessment will have on	b) discouraging - 07	25.00%
	your course grade?	c) no special effect - 00	00%
		d) sometimes effective - 08	28.57%
		Total: 28	100%
15.	How often did the teacher provide	a) regularly/ in time - 16	57.15%
	feedback on your performance?	b) with helpful comment- 10	35.71%
		c) often/late - 00	00%
		d) without any comments - 02	07.14%
		Total: 28	100%
16.	Were your assignment discussed	a) Yes, Fully - 15	53.58%
	with you?	b) Yes, partly - 09	32.14%
		c) not discussed at all - 02	07.14%
		d) sometimes discussed -02	07.14%
		Total: 28	100%
17.	Were you provided with a course	a) Yes - 21	75.00%
	contributory lecture too at the beginning?	b) No - 07	25.00%
	If yes, was: it helpful?	a) Yes - 21	75.00%
		b) No - 07	25.00%
		Total: 28	100%
18.	If you have other comments to offer	00	00%
	on the course and suggestions for		
	the teacher you may do so in the		
	space given below or on a separate		
	sheet		

Athawale College of Social Work, Station Road, Bhandara Feedback from Students Questionnaire No. 1

B.S.W. - VI Sem. 2022-23

Programme: Semester/Term/Year

Department:

Students are required to rate the courses in the following attributes using the 4-point scale shown. The format given is for one course. Do the same for other courses on separate page.



Sr.	Parameters	A	В	С	D
No.		Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the Course content	02	08	15	00
	including project work if any	(08.00%)	(32.00%)	(60.00%)	
2	Extent of coverage of course	04	10	11	00
	-	(16.00%)	(40.00%)	(44.00%)	
3	Applicability/ relevance to real life	03	07	15	00
	situations	(12.00%)	(28.00%)	(60.00%)	
4	Learning value (in terms of	02	09	14	00
	knowledge, concepts, manual, skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	(08.00%)	(36.00%)	(56.00%)	
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material	03 (12.00%)	06 (24.00%)	16 (64.00%)	00
		, , ,	, ,	, ,	
6	Relevance of additional source	04	12	09	00
	material (Library)	(16.00%)	(48.00%)	(36.00%)	
7	Extent of effort required by students	02	13	10	00
		(08.00%)	(52.00%)	(40.00%)	
8	Overall rating	05	09	11	00
		(20.00%)	(36.00%)	(44.00%)	


Athawale College of Social Work, Station Road, Bhandara Feedback

Questionnaire No. 2

B.S.W. – VI Sem. 2022-23

Department: Semester/Term/Year

Please rate the teacher on the following attributes using the 4-point scale shown.



Sr.	Parameters	A	В	С	D
No.		Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	03 (12.00%)	12 (48.00%)	10 (40.00%)	00
2	Communication Skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	02 (08.00%)	08 (32.00%)	15 (60.00%)	00
3	Sincerity/Commitment of the teacher	04 (16.00%)	10 (40.00%)	11 (44.00%)	00
4	Interest generated by the teacher	03 (12.00%)	14 (56.00%)	08 (32.00%)	00
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/ other issues, to provide a broader perspective	02 (08.00%)	09 (36.00%)	14 (56.00%)	00
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses	03 (12.00%)	07 (28.00%)	15 (60.00%)	00
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	04 (16.00%)	09 (36.00%)	12 (48.00%)	00
8	Ability to design quizzes/ Tests/ assignments/ examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	02 (08.00%)	06 (24.00%)	17 (68.00%)	00
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	03 (12.00%)	08 (32.00%)	14 (56.00%)	00
10	Overall rating	05 (20.00%)	07 (28.00%)	13 (52.00%)	00

Student's overall Evaluation of the programme and Teaching

(To be filled only after, the course results are declared)

	partment: Course Year
Yo	our responses will be seen only after your course results have been finalized and recorded.
Th	e information will be used only for the improvement of the course and teaching in future.
Yo	ou need disclose your name if you do not wish to ou may tick more than one answer to a question to the extent that they do not contradict each ner.
1.	The syllabus of each course was. a) adequate b) inadequate c) challenging d) dull
2.	Background for benefiting for the course was. a) more than adequate b) adequate c) inadequate d) cannot say
3.	Was the course easy or difficult to understand? a) easy b) manageable c) difficult d) very difficult
4.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class? a) 85 to 100% b) 70 to 85% c) 55 to 70% d) less than 55%
5.	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course? a) more than adequate b) adequate c) inadequate d) very poor
6.	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed reading? a) Easily b) with some difficulty c) not available at all d) with great difficulty
7.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes? a) thoroughly b) satisfactorily c) poorly d) indifferently
	How well was the teacher able to communicate? a) always effective b) sometimes effective c) just satisfactorily d) generally ineffective
9.	How far the teacher encourages student participation in class? a) mostly yes b) sometimes c) not at all d) always
10.	a) encouraged to raise questions b) get involved in discussion in class c) encourage discussion outside class d) did not encourage
11.	How helpful was the teacher in advising? a) very helpful b) sometimes helpful c) not at all helpful d) did not advise
12.	The teacher's approach can best be described as a) always courteous b) sometimes rude c) always indifferent d) cannot say
13.	. Internal assessment was a) always fair b) sometimes unfair c) usually unfair d) sometimes fair

14.	What effect do yo	u think	the internal as	sessment w	vill have o	n your co	ırse grade?	
	a) Helps to improve		b) discouraging c) no special effect		d) sometimes	s effective		
15.	How often did the		•	•				
	a) regularly/ in tin	ne	b) with helpf	ul comment	t c) of	ften/late	d) without ar	ny comments
16.	Were your assigni	ment di	scussed with y	ou?				
	a) Yes, Fully	b) Yes	, partly	c) not dis	cussed at	all	d) sometimes	s discussed
17.	Were you provide	d with a	a course contri	butory lect	ure too at	the begini	ning?	
	a) Yes	b) No						
	If yes, was: it help	oful?						
	a) Yes	b) No						

18. If you have other comments to offer on the course and suggestions for the teacher you may do so in the space given below or on a separate sheet.

Answer Result B.S.W. – VI Sem. 2022-23

Student's overall Evaluation of the programme and Teaching

(To be filled only after, the course results are declared)

Department: Course Teacher: Year

Que. No.	Question	Option & Respondents	Percentage
1.	The syllabus of each course was.	a) adequate - 15	60.00%
		b) inadequate - 03	12.00%
		c) challenging - 07	28.00%
		d) dull - 00	00%
		Total: 25	100%
2.	Background for benefiting for the	a) More than adequate - 10	40.00%
	course was.	b) adequate - 13	52.00%
		c) inadequate - 02	08.00%
		d) can not say - 00	00%
		Total: 25	100%
3.	Was the course easy or difficult to	a) easy - 11	44.00%
	understand?	b) manageable - 12	48.00%
		c) difficult - 02	08.00%
		d) very difficult – 00	00%
		Total: 25	100%
4.	How much of the syllabus was	a) 85 to 100% - 12	48.00%
••	covered in the class?	b) 70 to 85% - 10	40.00%
	S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	c) 55 to 70% - 13	12.00%
		d) less than 55% - 00	00%
		Total: 25	100%
5.	What is your opinion about the	a) more than adequate - 09	36.00%
٥.	library material and facilities for the	b) adequate - 12	48.00%
	course?	c) inadequate - 04	16.00%
	coarse.	d) very poor - 00	00%
		Total: 25	100%
6.	To what extent were you able to get	a) Easily - 14	56.00%
0.	material for the prescribed reading?	b) with some difficulty - 08	32.00%
	Francisco de Production de Pro	c) not available at all - 00	00%
		d) with great difficulty - 03	12.00%
		Total: 25	100%
7.	How well did the teacher prepare	a) thoroughly - 13	52.00%
, .	for the classes?	b) satisfactorily - 09	36.00%
		c) poorly - 03	12.00%
		d) indifferently - 00	00%
		Total: 25	100%
8.	How well was the teacher able to	a) always effective - 12	48.00%
	communicate?	b) sometimes effective - 13	52.00%
		c) just satisfactorily - 00	00%
		d) generally ineffective - 00	00%
		Total: 25	100%
9.	How far the teacher encourages	a) mostly yes - 08	32.00%
7.	student participation in class?	b) sometimes - 04	16.00%
	participation in class.	c) not at all - 00	00%
		d) always - 13	52.00%
		Total: 25	100%

10.	If yes, which of the following methods were used?	a) encouraged to raise questions - 14	56.00%
		b) get involved in discussion in class - 11 c) encourage discussion outside	44.00%
		class - 00	00%
		d) did not encourage - 00	00%
		Total: 25	100%
11.	How helpful was the teacher in	a) very helpful - 11	44.00%
11.	advising?	b) sometimes helpful - 12	48.00%
	advising:	c) not at all helpful - 02	08.00%
		d) did not advise - 00	00%
		Total: 25	100%
12.	The teacher's approach can best be	a) always courteous - 08	32.00%
12.	described as	b) sometimes rude - 05	20.00%
		c) always indifferent - 12	48.00%
		d) cannot say - 00	00%
		Total: 25	100%
13.	Internal assessment was	a) always fair - 15	60.00%
		b) sometimes unfair - 03	12.00%
		c) usually unfair - 00	00%
		d) sometimes fair - 07	28.00%
		Total: 25	100%
14.	What effect do you think the	a) Helps to improve - 14	56.00%
	internal assessment will have on	b) discouraging - 03	12.00%
	your course grade?	c) no special effect - 00	00%
		d) sometimes effective - 08	32.00%
		Total: 25	100%
15.	How often did the teacher provide	a) regularly/ in time - 16	64.00%
	feedback on your performance?	b) with helpful comment- 09	36.00%
		c) often/late - 00	00%
		d) without any comments - 00	00%
		Total: 25	100%
16.	Were your assignment discussed	a) Yes, Fully - 15	60.00%
	with you?	b) Yes, partly - 06	24.00%
		c) not discussed at all - 04	16.00%
		d) sometimes discussed -00	00%
		Total: 25	100%
17.	Were you provided with a course	a) Yes - 19	76.00%
	contributory lecture too at the beginning?	b) No - 06	24.00%
	If yes, was: it helpful?	a) Yes - 19	76.00%
		b) No - 06	24.00%
		Total: 25	100%
18.	If you have other comments to offer on the course and suggestions for the teacher you may do so in the space given below or on a separate sheet	00	00%

Athawale College of Social Work, Station Road, Bhandara Feedback from Students Questionnaire No. 1

M.S.W. - II Sem. 2022-23

Programme: Semester/Term/Year

Department:

Students are required to rate the courses in the following attributes using the 4-point scale shown. The format given is for one course. Do the same for other courses on separate page.



Sr.	Parameters	A	В	С	D
No.		Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the Course content	04	08	20	00
	including project work if any	(12.50%)	(25.00%)	(62.50%)	
2	Extent of coverage of course	03	10	19	00
		(09.37%)	(31.25%)	(59.37%)	
3	Applicability/ relevance to real life	02	12	18	00
	situations	(06.25%)	(37.50%)	(56.25%)	
4	Learning value (in terms of	03	09	20	00
	knowledge, concepts, manual, skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	(09.37%)	(28.12%)	(62.50%)	
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material	04 (12.25%)	11 (34.37%)	17 (53.12%)	00
	Teaching material	(12.23 70)	(31.3770)	(53.1270)	
6	Relevance of additional source	02	16	14	00
	material (Library)	(06.25%)	(50.00%)	(43.75%)	
7	Extent of effort required by students	03	10	19	00
		(09.37%)	(31.25%)	(59.37%)	
8	Overall rating	06	08	18	00
		(18.75%)	(25.00%)	(56.25%)	

Athawale College of Social Work, Station Road, Bhandara Feedback

Questionnaire No. 2

M.S.W. – II Sem. 2022-23

Department

Semester/Term/Year

:

Please rate the teacher on the following attributes using the 4-point scale shown.



Sr.	Parameters	A	В	С	D
No.		Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as	03	09	20	00
	perceived by you)	(09.37%)	(28.12%)	(62.50%)	
2	Communication Skills (in terms of	01	10	21	00
	articulation and comprehensibility)	(03.12%)	(31.25%)	(62.62%)	
3	Sincerity/Commitment of the	02	14	16	00
	teacher	(06.25%)	(43.75%)	(50.00%)	
4	Interest generated by the teacher	04	17	11	00
		(12.50%)	(53.12%)	(34.37%)	
5	Ability to integrate course material	02	08	22	00
	with environment/ other issues, to provide a broader perspective	(06.25%)	(25.00%)	(68.75%)	
6	Ability to integrate content with	03	12	17	00
	other courses	(09.37%)	(37.50%)	(53.12%)	
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and	02	11	19	00
	out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	(06.25%)	(34.37%)	(59.37%)	
8	Ability to design quizzes/ Tests/	04	12	16	00
	assignments/ examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	(12.50%)	(37.50%)	(50.00%)	
9	Provision of sufficient time for	02	13	17	00
	feedback	(06.25%)	(40.62%)	(53.12%)	
10	Overall rating	07	09	16	00
		(21.87%)	(28.12%)	(50.00%)	

Student's overall Evaluation of the programme and Teaching

(To be filled only after, the course results are declared)

	partment: Course Year
Yo	ur responses will be seen only after your course results have been finalized and recorded.
Th	e information will be used only for the improvement of the course and teaching in future.
Yo	u need disclose your name if you do not wish to u may tick more than one answer to a question to the extent that they do not contradict each ner.
1.	The syllabus of each course was. a) adequate b) inadequate c) challenging d) dull
2.	Background for benefiting for the course was. a) more than adequate b) adequate c) inadequate d) cannot say
3.	Was the course easy or difficult to understand? a) easy b) manageable c) difficult d) very difficult
4.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class? a) 85 to 100% b) 70 to 85% c) 55 to 70% d) less than 55%
5.	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course? a) more than adequate b) adequate c) inadequate d) very poor
6.	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed reading? a) Easily b) with some difficulty c) not available at all d) with great difficulty
7.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes? a) thoroughly b) satisfactorily c) poorly d) indifferently
	How well was the teacher able to communicate? a) always effective b) sometimes effective c) just satisfactorily d) generally ineffective
9.	How far the teacher encourages student participation in class? a) mostly yes b) sometimes c) not at all d) always
10.	If yes, which of the following methods were used? a) encouraged to raise questions b) get involved in discussion in class c) encourage discussion outside class d) did not encourage
11.	How helpful was the teacher in advising? a) very helpful b) sometimes helpful c) not at all helpful d) did not advise
12.	The teacher's approach can best be described as a) always courteous b) sometimes rude c) always indifferent d) cannot say
13.	Internal assessment was a) always fair b) sometimes unfair c) usually unfair d) sometimes fair

14.	What effect do you	u think	the internal ass	sessment will l	nave on your co	ourse grade?
	a) Helps to improve	/e	b) discouraging	ng c) no	special effect	d) sometimes effective
15.	How often did the a) regularly/ in time		-	• •		d) without any comments
16.	Were your assignma) Yes, Fully		•		sed at all	d) sometimes discussed
17.	Were you provided a) Yes	d with a	a course contril	butory lecture	too at the begin	nning?
	If yes, was: it help a) Yes	ful? b) No				

18. If you have other comments to offer on the course and suggestions for the teacher you may do so in the space given below or on a separate sheet.

Answer Result M.S.W. – II Sem. 2022-23

Student's overall Evaluation of the programme and Teaching

(To be filled only after, the course results are declared)

Department: Course Teacher: Year

Que. No.	Question	Option & Respondents	Percentage	
1.	The syllabus of each course was.	a) adequate - 17	53.12%	
		b) inadequate - 04	12.50%	
		c) challenging - 11	34.38%	
		d) dull - 00	00%	
		Total: 32	100%	
2.	Background for benefiting for the	a) more than adequate -12	37.50%	
2.	course was.	b) adequate - 17	53.12%	
	course was.	c) inadequate - 03	09.38%	
		d) cannot say - 00	00%	
		Total: 32	100%	
3.	Was the course easy or difficult to	a) easy - 16	50.00%	
٥.	understand?	b) manageable - 09	28.12%	
	understand?	c) difficult - 07	21.88%	
		d) very difficult – 00	00%	
	YY 1 C 1 11 1	Total: 32	100%	
4.	How much of the syllabus was	a) 85 to 100% - 10	31.25%	
	covered in the class?	b) 70 to 85% - 16	50.00%	
		c) 55 to 70% - 06	18.75%	
		d) less than 55% - 00	00%	
		Total: 32	100%	
5.	What is your opinion about the	a) more than adequate - 18	56.26%	
	library material and facilities for the	b) adequate - 11	34.37%	
	course?	c) inadequate - 03	09.37%	
		d) very poor - 00	00%	
		Total: 32	100%	
6.	To what extent were you able to get	a) Easily - 16	50.00%	
	material for the prescribed reading?	b) with some difficulty- 10	31.25%	
		c) not available at all - 00	00%	
		d) with great difficulty- 06	18.75%	
		Total: 32	100%	
7.	How well did the teacher prepare	a) thoroughly - 12	37.50%	
	for the classes?	b) satisfactorily - 15	46.88%	
		c) poorly - 05	15.62%	
		d) indifferently – 00	00%	
		Total: 32	100%	
8.	How well was the teacher able to	a) always effective - 14	43.76%	
0.	communicate?	b) sometimes effective- 11	34.37%	
	communicate.	c) just satisfactorily - 07	19.87%	
		d) generally ineffective- 00	00%	
		Total: 32	100%	
9.	How for the teacher ancourages		46.88%	
9.	How far the teacher encourages	a) mostly yes - 15 b) sometimes - 06	18.75%	
	student participation in class?			
		c) not at all -00	00%	
		d) always - 11	34.37%	
		Total: 32	100%	

1	0. If yes, which of the following methods were used?	a) encouraged to raise questions - 20	62.50%
		b) get involved in discussion in class - 12	37.50%
		c) encourage discussion outside class - 00	00%
		d) did not encourage - 00	00%
		Total: 32	100%
1	1. How helpful was the teacher in	a) very helpful - 16	50.00%
	advising?	b) sometimes helpful - 09	28.13%
		c) not at all helpful - 07	21.87%
		d) did not advise - 00	00%
1	2 71 4 1 2 1 1 4 1	Total: 32	100%
1	2. The teacher's approach can best be	a) always courteous - 18	56.25%
	described as	b) sometimes rude - 08	25.00%
		c) always indifferent - 06	18.75%
		d) cannot say - 00 Total: 32	00%
1	3. Internal assessment was		100% 59.37%
1	3. Internal assessment was	a) always fair - 19 b) sometimes unfair - 03	09.37%
		c) usually unfair - 00	09.37%
		d) sometimes fair - 10	31.26%
		Total: 32	100%
1	4. What effect do you think the		52.13%
1	4. What effect do you think the internal assessment will have on	a) Helps to improve - 17 b) discouraging - 04	12.50%
			00%
	your course grade?	c) no special effect - 00 d) sometimes effective- 11	34.37%
	+	Total: 32	100%
1	5. How often did the teacher provide	a) regularly/ in time - 15	46.88%
1	feedback on your performance?	b) with helpful comment- 12	37.50%
	reedback on your performance:	c) often/late - 05	15.62%
		d) without any comments - 00	00%
		Total: 32	100%
1	6. Were your assignment discussed	a) Yes, Fully - 17	53.13%
1	with you?	b) Yes, partly - 08	25.00%
	with you.	c) not discussed at all - 03	09.37%
		d) sometimes discussed -04	12.50%
		Total: 32	100%
1	7. Were you provided with a course	a) Yes - 26	81.25%
	contributory lecture too at the	b) No - 06	18.75%
	beginning?		
	If yes, was: it helpful?	a) Yes - 26	81.25%
		b) No - 06	18.75%
		Total: 32	100%
1	8. If you have other comments to offer	00	00%
	on the course and suggestions for		
	the teacher you may do so in the		
	space given below or on a separate		
	sheet		

Athawale College of Social Work, Station Road, Bhandara Feedback from Students Questionnaire No. 1

M.S.W. - IV Sem. 2022-23

Programme: Semester/Term/Year

Department:

Students are required to rate the courses in the following attributes using the 4-point scale shown. The format given is for one course. Do the same for other courses on separate page.



Sr.	Parameters	A	В	C	D
No.		Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the Course content	03	12	20	00
	including project work if any	(08.57%)	(34.28%)	(57.15%)	
2	Extent of coverage of course	02	14	19	00
		(05.71%)	(40.00%)	(54.29%)	
3	Applicability/ relevance to real life	02	16	17	00
	situations	(05.71%)	(45.71%)	(48.58%)	
4	Learning value (in terms of	04	12	19	00
	knowledge, concepts, manual, skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	(11.42%)	(34.29%)	(54.29%)	
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material	03 (08.57%)	14 (40.00%)	18 (51.43%)	00
6	Relevance of additional source	03	12	20	00
	material (Library)	(08.57%)	(34.28%)	(57.15%)	
7	Extent of effort required by students	02	15	18	00
		(05.71%)	(42.86%)	(51.43%)	
8	Overall rating	05	10	20	00
		(14.28%)	(28.57%)	(57.15%)	

Athawale College of Social Work, Station Road, Bhandara Feedback

Questionnaire No. 2

M.S.W. – IV Sem. 2022-23

Department

Semester/Term/Year

:

Please rate the teacher on the following attributes using the 4-point scale shown.



Sr. No.	Parameters	A Very Good	B Good	C Satisfactory	D Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as	05	13	17	00
	perceived by you)	(14.28%)	(37.14%)	(48.58%)	
2	Communication Skills (in terms of	03	18	14	00
	articulation and comprehensibility)	(08.57%)	(51.43%)	(40.00%)	
3	Sincerity/Commitment of the	02	12	21	00
	teacher	(05.72%)	(34.28%)	(60.00%)	
4	Interest generated by the teacher	04	14	17	00
	,	(11.42%)	(40.00%)	(48.58%)	
5	Ability to integrate course material	03	13	19	00
	with environment/ other issues, to provide a broader perspective	(08.58%)	(37.14%)	(54.28%)	
6	Ability to integrate content with	05	12	18	00
	other courses	(14.29%)	(34.29%)	(51.42%)	
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and	04	14	17	00
	out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	(11.42%)	(40.00%)	(48.58%)	
8	Ability to design quizzes/ Tests/	03	12	20	00
	assignments/ examinations and	(08.57%)	(34.28%)	(57.15%)	
	projects to evaluate students understanding of the course				
9	Provision of sufficient time for	02	14	19	00
	feedback	(05.71%)	(40.00%)	(54.29%)	
10	Overall rating	07	11	17	00
		(20.00%)	(31.42%)	(48.58%)	

Student's overall Evaluation of the programme and Teaching

(To be filled only after, the course results are declared)

	partment: Course Year
Yo	our responses will be seen only after your course results have been finalized and recorded.
Th	e information will be used only for the improvement of the course and teaching in future.
Yo	ou need disclose your name if you do not wish to bu may tick more than one answer to a question to the extent that they do not contradict each ner.
1.	The syllabus of each course was. a) adequate b) inadequate c) challenging d) dull
2.	Background for benefiting for the course was. a) more than adequate b) adequate c) inadequate d) cannot say
3.	Was the course easy or difficult to understand? a) easy b) manageable c) difficult d) very difficult
4.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class? a) 85 to 100% b) 70 to 85% c) 55 to 70% d) less than 55%
5.	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course? a) more than adequate b) adequate c) inadequate d) very poor
6.	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed reading? a) Easily b) with some difficulty c) not available at all d) with great difficulty
7.	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes? a) thoroughly b) satisfactorily c) poorly d) indifferently
	How well was the teacher able to communicate? a) always effective b) sometimes effective c) just satisfactorily d) generally ineffective
9.	How far the teacher encourages student participation in class? a) mostly yes b) sometimes c) not at all d) always
10.	a) encouraged to raise questions b) get involved in discussion in class c) encourage discussion outside class d) did not encourage
11.	. How helpful was the teacher in advising? a) very helpful b) sometimes helpful c) not at all helpful d) did not advise
12.	The teacher's approach can best be described as a) always courteous b) sometimes rude c) always indifferent d) cannot say
13.	. Internal assessment was a) always fair b) sometimes unfair c) usually unfair d) sometimes fair

a) Helps to improve b) discouraging c) no special effect	d) sometimes effective
15. How often did the teacher provide feedback on your performance? a) regularly/ in time b) with helpful comment c) often/late	d) without any comments
a) regularly/ in time b) with helpful comment c) often/late	a) without any comments
16. Were your assignment discussed with you?	
a) Yes, Fully b) Yes, partly c) not discussed at all	d) sometimes discussed
17. Were you provided with a course contributory lecture too at the begin	ning?
a) Yes b) No	
If yes, was: it helpful?	
a) Yes b) No	

18. If you have other comments to offer on the course and suggestions for the teacher you may do so in the space given below or on a separate sheet.

Answer Result M.S.W. – IV Sem. 2022-23

Student's overall Evaluation of the programme and Teaching

(To be filled only after, the course results are declared)

Department: Course Teacher: Year

Que. No.	Question	Option & Respondents	Percentage	
1.	The syllabus of each course was.	a) adequate - 17	48.58%	
		b) inadequate - 05	14.28%	
		c) challenging - 13	37.14%	
		d) dull - 00	00%	
		Total: 35	100%	
2.	Background for benefiting for the	a) more than adequate -13	37.15%	
	course was.	b) adequate - 18	51.53%	
		c) inadequate - 04	11.42%	
		d) cannot say - 00	00%	
		Total: 35	100%	
3.	Was the course easy or difficult to	a) easy - 19	54.28%	
٥.	understand?	b) manageable - 09	25.72%	
		c) difficult - 07	20.00%	
		d) very difficult – 00	00%	
		Total: 35	100%	
4.	How much of the syllabus was	a) 85 to 100% - 14	40.00%	
т.	covered in the class?	b) 70 to 85% - 16	45.72%	
	covered in the class.	c) 55 to 70% - 05	14.28%	
		d) less than 55% - 00	00%	
		Total: 35	100%	
5.	What is your opinion about the	a) more than adequate - 12	34.29%	
3.	library material and facilities for the	b) adequate - 18	51.42%	
	course?	c) inadequate - 05	14.29%	
	course.	d) very poor - 00	00%	
		Total: 35	100%	
6.	To what extent were you able to get	a) Easily - 21	60.00%	
0.	material for the prescribed reading?	b) with some difficulty- 18	28.58%	
	material for the presented reading.	c) not available at all - 00	00%	
		d) with great difficulty- 04	11.42%	
		Total: 35	100%	
7.	How well did the teacher prepare	a) thoroughly - 12	34.28%	
,.	for the classes?	b) satisfactorily - 17	48.58%	
	Tot the classes.	c) poorly - 06	17.14%	
		d) indifferently – 00	00%	
		Total: 35	100%	
8.	How well was the teacher able to	a) always effective - 19	54.28%	
0.	communicate?	b) sometimes effective- 09	25.72%	
	communicate.	c) just satisfactorily - 07	20.00%	
		d) generally ineffective- 00	00%	
		Total: 35	100%	
9.	How far the teacher encourages	a) mostly yes - 22	62.86%	
2.	student participation in class?	b) sometimes - 07	20.00%	
	student participation in class:	c) not at all - 00	00%	
		d) always - 06	17.14%	
		Total: 35	100%	

10.	If yes, which of the following methods were used?	a) encouraged to raise questions - 13	37.15%
	methods were used.	b) get involved in discussion in	37.1370
		class - 22	62.85%
		c) encourage discussion outside	02.0370
		class - 00	00%
		d) did not encourage - 00	00%
		Total: 35	100%
11.	How helpful was the teacher in	a) very helpful - 18	51.53%
	advising?	b) sometimes helpful - 09	25.72%
		c) not at all helpful - 08	22.85%
		d) did not advise - 00	00%
		Total: 35	100%
12.	The teacher's approach can best be	a) always courteous - 21	60.00%
	described as	b) sometimes rude - 08	22.86%
		c) always indifferent - 06	17.14%
		d) cannot say - 00	00%
		Total: 35	100%
13.	Internal assessment was	a) always fair - 20	57.15%
		b) sometimes unfair - 04	11.42%
		c) usually unfair - 00	00%
		d) sometimes fair - 11	31.43%
		Total: 35	100%
14.	What effect do you think the	a) Helps to improve - 19	54.28%
	internal assessment will have on	b) discouraging - 03	08.57%
	your course grade?	c) no special effect - 00	00%
		d) sometimes effective - 13	37.15%
		Total: 35	100%
15.	How often did the teacher provide	a) regularly/ in time - 12	34.28%
	feedback on your performance?	b) with helpful comment- 16	45.72%
		c) often/late - 07	20.00%
		d) without any comments - 00	00%
1.6	***	Total: 35	100%
16.	Were your assignment discussed	a) Yes, Fully - 22	62.86%
	with you?	b) Yes, partly - 08	22.86%
		c) not discussed at all - 00	00%
		d) sometimes discussed -05	14.28%
17	Wana yan mani dadanith a assura	Total: 35 a) Yes - 28	100%
17.	Were you provided with a course	,	80.00% 20.00%
	contributory lecture too at the beginning?	b) No - 07	20.00%
	beginning:		
	If yes, was: it helpful?	a) Yes - 28	80.00%
	ii yes, was. it iicipiui:	b) No - 07	20.00%
		Total: 35	100%
18.	If you have other comments to offer	00	00%
10.	on the course and suggestions for		0070
	the teacher you may do so in the		
	space given below or on a separate		
	sheet		
-	•		